

REPUBLICA DEMOCRATICA DE TIMOR LESTE MINISTERIO DAS INFRA-ESTRUTURAS

ROAD NETWORK UPGRADING SECTOR PROJECT



Resettlement Plan Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road



REPUBLICA DEMOCRATICA DE TIMOR LESTE MINISTERIO DAS INFRA-ESTRUTURAS

ROAD NETWORK UPGRADING SECTOR PROJECT

Resettlement Plan Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road

SMEC International Pty Limited, Australia November 2011



Table of Contents

| | | | Page No |
|-----|-----------|--|---------|
| Abb | reviatio | ns | iv |
| Def | inition o | f Terms | V |
| Exe | cutive S | Summary | viii |
| 1. | Introd | duction | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Project Description | 2 |
| | 1.3 | Objectives of the RP | 2 |
| | 1.4 | RP-related Conditionality | 3 |
| 2. | Scope | e of Land Acquisition and Resettlement | 4 |
| | 2.1 | Scope and Rationale for Land Acquisition and Resettlement | 4 |
| | 2.2 | Summary of Impacts | 4 |
| | 2.3 | Measures to Minimize Land Obtaining Impact | 5 |
| | 2.4 | Impact Assessment | 5 |
| | | 2.4.1 Affected Households and Persons | 5 |
| | | 2.4.2 Impact on Land | 5 |
| | | 2.4.3 Impact on Crops | 5 |
| | | 2.4.4 Impact on Trees | 6 |
| | | 2.4.5 Impact on Structures | 6 |
| | | 2.4.6 Impact on Income | 6 |
| | | 2.4.7 Gender Impact | 6 |
| 3. | Socio | peconomic Information and Profile | 7 |
| | 3.1 | Working Methodology | 7 |
| | 3.2 | Demographic Characteristics of APs | 7 |
| | | 3.2.1 Population of APs | 7 |
| | | 3.2.2 Age of APs | 7 |
| | | 3.2.3 Marital Status of APs | 7 |
| | | 3.2.4 Education of APs | 8 |
| | | 3.2.5 Ethnicity of APs | 8 |
| | 3.3 | Characteristics of Household Heads of AHs | 8 |
| | | 3.3.1 Family Structure | 8 |
| | | 3.3.2 Economic Profile of AH | 8 |
| | | 3.3.3 Quality of Life Indicators | 9 |
| | 3.4 | Economic Details of AHs | 9 |
| | | 3.4.1 Poverty Status | 9 |
| | | 3.4.2 Impact of Project on AHs' Livelihood | 10 |
| 4. | Lega | Il and Policy Framework and Entitlements | 11 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 11 |
| | 4.2 | Purpose and Objectives of Land Acquisition and Resettlement | 11 |
| | 4.3 | Existing Policy and Legal Framework for Land Acquisition and | |
| | | Resettlement in Timor-Leste | 11 |
| | 4.4 | ADB Safeguard Policies | 12 |
| | | - | |



| | 4.5 | Project Policy Framework and Entitlements | 13 |
|-------|----------|---|----------|
| | 4.6 | Eligibility and Entitlements | 14 |
| | | 4.6.1 Cut-off Date and Eligibility | 15 |
| | | | |
| 5. | | cipatory Consultation and Disclosure | 16 |
| | 5.1 | Stakeholders | 16 |
| | 5.2 | Key Issues | 16 |
| | 5.3 | Community Attitude | 17 |
| | 5.4 | Disclosure | 18 |
| | | | |
| 6. | | ance Redress Mechanism | 20 |
| | 6.1 | Proposed Measures to Address Grievance | 20 |
| | 6.2 | Compensation Determination Procedure | 22 |
| _ | | as Bootsmatian | 00 |
| 7. | | ne Restoration | 22 |
| | 7.1 | Restoration of Income from Business | 22 |
| | 7.2 | Resettlement for Crops and Trees | 22 |
| | 7.3 | Resettlement for Assets of Squatters | 22 |
| | 7.4 | Other Impacts not Identified | 22 |
| _ | l (!) | of and Franciscople | 00 |
| 8. | | utional Framework | 23 |
| | 8.1 | Institutional Setup | 23 |
| | 8.2 | Resettlement Implementation Structure | 24 |
| 9. | Posot | tlement Budget and Financing | 26 |
| Э. | 9.1 | Institutional Arrangements for Financing Resettlement | 26 |
| | 9.1 | Budget Description | 26 26 |
| | 9.2 | Budget Description | 20 |
| 10. | Imple | mentation Schedule and Preparatory Actions | 27 |
| . • • | 10.1 | RP Implementation | 27 |
| | 10.2 | Implementation Schedule | 27 |
| | 10.2 | implementation concade | |
| 11 | Monit | oring and Evaluation | 29 |
| | 11.1 | Internal monitoring | 29 |
| | 11.2 | External Monitoring | 30 |
| | 11.3 | Reporting | 30 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | of Figu | | _ |
| | | Project Location Map | 2 |
| | | Organizational Chart for RP Implementation | 23 |
| Figu | re 3 : R | RP Implementation Schedule | 28 |
| 1!=4 | -£ T-! | laa | |
| | of Tab | | A |
| rabi | e ∠.1: | Summary of Impacts | 4 |
| | | Measures to Minimize Resettlement | 5 |
| | | Population of APs | 7 |
| | | Gender and Age distribution of APs | 7 |
| I ah | ρ:Χ:Χ: | Marital Status of the APs | / |



| Table 3.4 : Education of APs | 8 |
|---|----|
| Table 3.5 : Religion of APs | 8 |
| Table 3.6 : Family Structure & Composition | 8 |
| Table 3.7 : Annual Income | 9 |
| Table 3.8 : Main Occupation | 9 |
| Table 3.9 : Housing, Electricity & Education | 9 |
| Table 3.10 : Poverty Status in Impact Area | 10 |
| Table 4.1 : Entitlement Matrix | 14 |
| Table 5.1 : Summary of Stakeholder Consultations | 18 |
| Table 5.2 : Consultation Meetings | 18 |
| Table 6.1 : Grievance Redress Procedure | 21 |
| Table 8.1 : Responsibilities of Agencies | 24 |
| Table 9.1 : Resettlement Budget | 26 |
| Table 11.1 : Internal Monitoring | 29 |
| | |
| Annexes | |
| Annex 1 : Census and Socioeconomic Survey Questionnaire | 1 |
| Annex 2: List of Affected Persons and Magnitude of Impact | 12 |
| Annex 3: Photographs of Consultation Meetings | 13 |
| Annex 4: Minutes of Meetings & Participants List | 14 |
| Annex 5 : Public Information Booklet | 42 |
| Annex 6: Letter of DLPCS | 47 |
| Annex 7 · Reply of Comments | 48 |



Abbreviations

ADB : Asian Development Bank

AF : Affected Family
AH : Affected Household
AP : Affected Person

DLPCS : Directorate of Land, Property and Cadastral Services

DMS : Detailed Measurement Survey

DRBFC : Directorate of Roads, Bridges and Flood Control

EA : Executing Agency

ESU : Environmental and Social Unit GRC : Grievance Redress Committee

IA : Implementing Agency IOL : Inventory of Losses

IPDP : Indigenous People Development Plan

MOF : Ministry of Finance
MOI : Ministry of Infrastructure
MOJ : Ministry of Justice

NGO : Non-Government Organization

PISC : Project Implementation and Support Consultants

PMU : Project Management Unit RF : Resettlement Framework

RNDSP : Road Network Development Sector Project
RNUSP : Road Network Upgrading Sector Project

ROW: Right of Way
RP: Resettlement Plan

SoSPW : Secretariat of State for Public Works



Glossary

Affected Household/Family: All members of a household residing under one roof and operating as a single economic unit; who are adversely affected by the Project or any of its components. It may consist of a single nuclear family or an extended family group.

Affected Person (AP): All the people affected by the Project through land obtaining, relocation, or loss of income; and include any person, household [sometimes referred to as project affected family (AF)], firms, or public or private institutions. APs, therefore, include (i) persons whose land, house/structures, or other assets such as trees or crops are affected; (ii) persons whose businesses are affected and who might experience loss of income due to the Project impact; (iii) persons who lose work/employment as a result of Project impact; and (iv) people who lose access to community resources/property as a result of the Project.

Assistance: Support, rehabilitation and restoration measures extended in cash and/or kind over and above the compensation for lost assets.

Compensation: Payment in cash at current market value or in kind for an asset or a resource that is obtained or affected by a project to which the affected people are entitled in order to replace the lost property or income.

Cut-off date: The date after which people will NOT be considered eligible for compensation, i.e. they are not included in the list of APs as defined by the census. Normally, the cut-off-date is the date of the detailed measurement survey.

Detailed Measurement Survey: The detailed inventory of losses that is completed after detailed design and marking of project boundaries on the ground.

Encroachers: People who move into the project area after the cut-off date and are therefore not eligible for compensation or other rehabilitation measures provided by the Project. The term also refers to those extending attached private land into public land.

Entitlement: The range of measures comprising cash or kind compensation, relocation cost, income restoration assistance, transfer assistance, income substitution, relocation and restoration which are due to APs, depending on the type and degree /nature of their losses, to restore their social and economic base.

Inventory of Losses: Record of affected or lost assets due to project intervention.

Land Obtaining: Purchase of land for the Project through negotiated settlement until the expropriation law is passed.

Non-titled: People who have no recognizable rights or claims to the land that they are occupying and includes people using private or state land without permission, permit or grant i.e. those people without legal title to land and/or structures occupied or used by them. ADB's policy explicitly states that such people cannot be denied compensation.

Project: The Road Network Upgrading Sector Project funded by ADB.



Rehabilitation: Compensatory measures provided under the Policy Framework on involuntary resettlement other than payment of the replacement cost of obtained assets.

Relocation: Displacement or physical moving of the APs from the affected area to a new area/site and rebuilding homes, infrastructure, provision of assets, including productive land/employment and re-establishing income, livelihoods, living and social systems

Replacement Cost: Replacement cost means the amount needed to replace an asset and is the value determined as compensation for:

- i. Agricultural land at the pre-project or pre-displacement level, whichever is higher and is the market value of land of equal productive potential or use located in the vicinity of the affected land, plus the cost of preparing the land to levels similar to those of the affected land, plus the cost of any registration and transfer taxes;
- ii. Land in urban areas: it is the pre-displacement market value of land of equal size and use, with similar or improved public infrastructure facilities and services and located in the vicinity of the affected land, plus the cost of any registration and transfer taxes;
- iii. Houses and other related structures based on current market prices of materials, transportation of materials to construction site, cost of labor and contractor's fee, and any cost of registration and transfer taxes. In determining replacement cost, depreciation of assets and value of salvaged building materials are not taken into account and no deductions are made for the value of benefits to be derived from the project;
- iv. Crops, trees, and other perennials based on current market value; and
- v. Other assets (i.e. income, cultural or aesthetic) based on replacement cost or cost of mitigating measures.

Replacement Land: The land affected by the project that is compensated through provision of alternative land, rather than cash, of the same size and/or productive capacity as the land lost and is acceptable to the AP.

Resettlement: All the impacts associated with loss of physical and non-physical assets, including homes, communities, productive land, income-earning assets and sources, subsistence, resources, cultural sites, social structures, networks and ties, cultural identity, and mutual help mechanisms.

Significant Impact: If 200 people or more persons experience major impacts, which are defined as: (i) being physically displaced from housing, or (ii) losing 10% or more of their productive assets (income generating).

Squatters: Same as non-titled and includes households, business and common establishments on land owned by the State.



Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste Ministry of Infrastructure Road Network Upgrading Sector Project

Resettlement Plan Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road

Structures: All buildings including primary and secondary structures including houses and ancillary buildings, commercial enterprises, living quarters, community facilities and infrastructures, shops, businesses, fences, and walls.

Vulnerable: Who might suffer disproportionately or face the risk of being marginalized from the effects of resettlement and includes: (i) female-headed households with dependents; (ii) disabled household heads; (iii) poor households that fall on or below the poverty line (within the meaning given previously); (iv) landless; (v) elderly households with no means of support; (vi) households without security of tenure; (vii) households of indigenous population or ethnic minority.



Executive Summary

- 1. The Government of Timor-Leste plans to undertake the Road Network Upgrading Sector Project (RNUSP), with financial assistance from the Asian Development Bank (ADB). The major focus of the Project is to accelerate new economic opportunities and poverty reduction. The Ministry of Infrastructure (MOI) as implementing agency (IA) is responsible to manage implementation of the Project through a Project Management Unit (PMU). The Project includes improvement and upgrading of priority national roads. The two priority national roads are (i) Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road with a length of 28.8 km (according to present design), and (ii) Tibar-Gleno-Ermera Road with a length of 44.8 km.
- 2. The Project's strategy is to minimize land acquisition and resettlement impact by confining the construction works within existing road corridor or on the government-owned land. Implementation of physical works with widening and raising of the embankment will be carried out by adjusting within the existing space available along the road corridor. The project interventions, for improvement of the Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road, for which the present Resettlement Plan (RP) has been prepared, will not involve significant¹ resettlement impact. It does not require acquisition of land and will not involve physical displacement of people from housing.
- 3. The project road Dili-Tibar-Liquica, with a length of about 28.8 km, starts at km 6.828 of the existing road corridor just from the west side of the present roundabout near the Comoro Airport located at the outskirts of the capital city Dili, and via Tibar junction it connects Liquica District towards west and then continuously runs up to Mota Ain, the main land border crossing with Indonesia.
- 4. Although the project implementation works will not involve significant involuntary resettlement impact, it will be necessary to push back some structures in order to clear off the right of way land. A combined census and socioeconomic survey carried out during 8 14 September 2011 identified 2 semi-permanent small structures to be partly affected and 9 kiosks (local name of small temporary shop) which will have to be pushed back. All these are either squatting or encroached in the government land. Besides, 1 tree will have to be removed to clear off the right of way land. To address these issues, the Project has formulated this RP for the Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road, complied with ADB's safeguard requirements on involuntary resettlement under the Safeguard Policy Statement, and in conformity with the resettlement principles and procedures outlined in the Resettlement Framework (RF) adopted by the Government of Timor-Leste for the Project.
- 5. The Project will follow the approach of negotiated settlement and provide compensation at replacement cost for any affected asset, physical and non-physical. Draft laws on land expropriation and compensation are awaiting the approval of Parliament. When these laws are passed by the Parliament, the Government of Timor-Leste will be able to acquire land for public purposes including roads under "eminent domain".

¹ Significant is defined as: 200 or more people will experience major impacts, which are defined as (i) being physically displaced from housing; or (ii) or losing 10 per cent or more of their productive assets (income generation).



6. Improvement of the Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road will be carried out within the available area along the existing embankment, requiring no additional land. As mentioned above, project implementation will not involve significant involuntary resettlement impact. A summary of impacts are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of Impacts

| Impact Category | Magnitude of Impact |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Affected Families | 12 households |
| Affected Persons | 92 people |
| Number of affected structures | 2 |
| Total area of affected structures | 14.5 Sqm |
| Affected Kiosks | 9 Nos |
| Affected Trees | 1 |

7. The census combined with socioeconomic survey has estimated a total of 92 affected persons (APs), splitting into 48 male and 44 female (Table 2). A list of the affected household heads with magnitude of impact is given in Annex 2.

Table 2: Population of APs

| SI. No | Description | Number of APs | Percent (%) |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1 | Male | 48 | 52 |
| 2 | Female | 44 | 48 |
| | Total | 92 | 100 |

- 8. None of the AP population in the Project area belongs to groups classified as indigenous people (IP) as defined under ADB's Safeguard Policy Statement. All the surveyed APs can be termed as mainstream Timor-Leste citizens. All the surveyed APs were found to be Christians.
- 9. All the APs will be compensated at negotiated rates that ensure compensation is at least at replacement rate in order to ensure that they will be as well off as without the Project. The compensation and entitlement matrix is presented in Table 3. The following categories of APs will be entitled to receive entitlements as set out in the RP:
- those with no formal title but have a claim to the land or assets, and are recognized through a process identified in the RP; and
- those who have no legal right or claim to the land they are occupying before the cut-off date.
- 10. Fair compensation for affected physical and non-physical assets has been estimated in the RP in consultation with APs and their community. This estimated compensation will be finalized in coordination with the Directorate of Land, Property and Cadastral Services (DLPCS). If situation demands, the DLPCS will set up a committee under the Directorate and coordinate with the MOI/PMU, with assistance of a professional valuation specialist, to establish a basis for fair compensation for assets affected for road improvement.



Table 3: Entitlement Matrix

| Type of Loss | Unit of | Details of Entitlements | Responsibility |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Entitlement | | |
| 1. Assets of squatters or informal settlers. | Informal settlers / squatters / non- tilted APs identified by IOL losing commercial semi-permanent structure. | Compensation at replacement cost of affected structure estimated during IOL and finalized with DLPCS. Transitional allowance for loss of income from business of US\$100 for 4 months per HH. Salvaged materials free of cost. | DLPCS with assistance from the PMU's Valuation Specialist and in consultation with relevant authorities will finalize the compensation at replacement cost for the affected structure as identified during IOL. |
| 2. Tree. | Claimant of the affected tree | Compensation at replacement cost of affected tree estimated during IOL and finalized with DLPCS. Salvaged materials free of cost. | DLPCS with assistance from PMU's Valuation Specialist in consultation with relevant authorities will determine the fair compensation at replacement cost. (One large tree will have to be removed at km 28 of the road which has no fruit or wood value, only bears fire wood value). |
| 3. Income from business. | Kiosks | One time lump sum transfer grant of US\$ 100 per kiosk. Transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for 4 months per HH. | PMU in coordination with DLPCS and with assistance of local authorities and community leaders. |
| 4. Impact on vulnerable APs. | Vulnerable and women headed households identified by IOL (owners of 2 kiosks – one is women headed and the other is widow headed). | In addition to the above standard package, vulnerable AP households will receive following: Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for additional 2 months for women headed HH. Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for additional 4 months for widow headed HH | PMU with assistance of local authorities and community leaders. |
| 5. Unforeseen Impact. | Concerned impactees. | Unforeseen impacts will be documented and mitigated based on the principles agreed upon in this policy framework. | PMU identifies and mitigates impacts as required. |

11. A grievance redress mechanism, linked with existing traditional formal and informal systems and cognizant of cultural requirements, will be established to solve disputes and complaints from APs. Existing community processes will be used for dealing with issues if there is any land related disputes arises in subsequent time. They include the suco chiefs (village is suco), other elders, church leaders and other recognized civil society leaders. ITA NIA RAI's



experience with conflict resolution and dispute mediation in property rights will be drawn upon as necessary to strengthen PMU's capacity in addressing land related issues. The AP always has final recourse through Timor-Leste's legal channels. However, every effort will be made to avoid this. Should the APs want to pursue legal recourse, PMU/MOI with assistance from the PISC consultants will ensure that support is given to the AP to prepare a case.

- 12. After approval of this RP by MOI and ADB, a summary of this resettlement plan and the entitlement matrix will be translated into local language (Tetum/Portuguese) and disclosed to stakeholders, especially affected persons, by the MOI. This will be presented in the form of a pamphlet/brochure (see Annex 5) to enable the APs and local communities to read it and be aware of the Project's benefit / compensation available for the APs, as per provision in the 'entitlement matrix'. This will also include Entitled Persons List describing all particulars of compensation for each AP. MOI field staff / consultant will distribute the brochures through suco meetings and will explain the mechanisms and procedures of the compensation program.
- 13. The PMU under the MOI will have overall responsibility for implementing the sub-project. The PMU will strengthen its capacity with a social safeguard specialist to plan and implement resettlement, train counterpart staff and monitor resettlement in the sub-project. To this end an Environmental and Social Unit (ESU) will be established in the PMU, staffed by a national social safeguards specialist and an international social safeguards specialist. The PISC consultant on the project will also provide an international social safeguards specialist to work with the PMU on all resettlement and consultations tasks.
- 14. All costs associated with resettlement aspects will be provided by the government. The MOF/MOI will ensure that adequate funds are available for resettlement according to the budgets prepared for the sub-project. The PMU will coordinate/undertake procurement and allocation of funds, approval of payments, and delivery of funds, monitoring of progress and reporting.
- 15. The entire resettlement for Dili-Tibar-Liquica road improvement project will require a total of **10,740 USD (0.0107 million US Dollar)**, out of which **8,950 USD** is direct resettlement expense. A 20% of the total direct expenses are kept to meet contingency expenses covering administrative and its associated costs. The included cost items are in compliance with the RF prepared for the project.
- 16. The financial resources for the RP implementation are expected to be available in late January 2012 following which the actual implementation will begin during around February 2012.



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1. The present state of dilapidated road network is one of the major impediments to overall socioeconomic growth in Timor-Leste. Considering the need of a comprehensive and sustainable development of road network the Government of Timor-Leste plans to undertake the Road Network Upgrading Sector Project (RNUSP), with financial assistance from the Asian Development Bank (ADB). The major focus of the Project is to accelerate new economic opportunities and poverty reduction.
- 2. The Ministry of Finance (MOF) will be project executing agency (EA) and the Ministry of Infrastructure (MOI) will be implementing agency (IA). MOI will manage implementation of the Project through existing Project Management Unit (PMU), supported by the Project Implementation and Support Consultants (PISC).
- 3. The Project includes improvement and upgrading of priority national roads. The priority roads include (i) Dili-Tibar-Liquica with a length of 28.8 km (according to present design), and (ii) Tibar-Gleno-Ermera with a length of 44.8 km. Both the roads are extended over the western half of Timor-Leste, within the geographic coverage of three districts comprising Dili, Liquica and Ermera.
- 4. The strategy for the Project is to minimize land requirements by confining the construction works within existing road corridor or on the government-owned land. Implementation of physical works with widening and raising of the embankment will be carried out by adjusting within the existing space available along the road corridor. The project interventions, for improvement of the road Dili-Tibar-Liquica, for which the present Resettlement Plan (RP) has been prepared, will not involve significant resettlement impact. It does not require acquisition of land, and will not involve physical displacement of people from housing.
- 5. Although the project implementation works will not involve significant involuntary resettlement impact, improvement with widening of the existing road will involve some resettlement impacts. A combined census and socioeconomic survey carried out during 8 14 September 2011 identified 2 semi-permanent small structures to be partly affected for improvement of the road with widening and raising of the embankment. Besides, it will be necessary to push back 9 kiosks (local name of small temporary shop) and remove 1 tree in order to clear off the right of way land. All these structures are either squatters or encroachers in the government land. To address this, the Project has formulated this RP for the Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road, complied with ADB's safeguard requirements on involuntary resettlement under the *Safeguard Policy Statement*, and in conformity with the resettlement principles and procedures outlined in the Resettlement Framework (RF) adopted by the Government of Timor-Leste for the Project.
- 6. The Ministry of Finance as the project executing agency and the Ministry of Infrastructure as the implementing agency will follow the RF principles and procedures to comply with the Government's applicable laws and regulations and relevant policies of the ADB. The objectives are to (i) avoid resettlement impacts wherever possible; (ii) minimize

¹ Significant is defined as: 200 or more people will experience major impacts, which are defined as (i) being physically displaced from housing; or (ii) or losing 10 per cent or more of their productive assets (income generation).



Page | 1

impacts by exploring alternatives; (iii) enhance, or at least restore, the living standards of APs; and (iv) improve the living standards of the poor and vulnerable APs.

7. The Project will follow the approach of negotiated settlement and provide compensation at replacement cost for any affected asset, physical and non-physical. Draft laws on land expropriation and compensation are awaiting the approval of Parliament. When these laws are passed by the Parliament, the Government of Timor-Leste will be able to acquire land for public purposes including roads under "eminent domain".

1.2 Project Description

- 8. The project road Dili-Tibar-Liquica, with a length of about 28.8 km (according to present design of the alignment), starts at km 6.828 of the existing road corridor just from the west side of the present roundabout near the Comoro Airport located at the outskirts of the capital city Dili, and connects Liquica District towards west via Tibar junction at km 13.92 from Dili, and then continuously runs up to Mota Ain, the main land border crossing with Indonesia. This road section actually is a continuous part of the major national route from Dili to Mota Ain. The Dili-Liquica road therefore lies within the geographic coverage of these two districts of Dili and Liquica. A Project Location Map is presented in Figure 1.
- 9. Physical implementation of improvement works includes widening of the existing road to achieve a width up to 15 meters 6 meters wide carriageway together with shoulder width up to 1.5 meter on each side, including drainage construction. These improvements require strips of land along either side of the existing embankment. This will be achieved by careful attention to the detailed design of the alignment since it is desirable to stay close to the existing alignments as far as possible, in order to avoid land acquisition impact, as well as to avoid structure or built-up areas. This involves some compromise on reducing the embankment width even to 7.5 meters at certain sections of the tortuous stretch, but not to the extent of creating potentially uneasy to and fro traffic on the road.



Figure 1: Project Location Map

1.3 Objectives of the RP

10. This RP defines the practical procedures by which the Implementing Agency (IA) will address the potential resettlement impacts under the Project, in line with the applicable policy and legal framework of the Government, and ensuring that the principles of the ADB's safeguard requirements on involuntary resettlement under the Safeguard Policy



Statement are complied with. The primary objectives of the RP are to: (i) identify the project impact on the community in terms of loss of assets, and impact on livelihood and income; (ii) outline measures to mitigate the adverse impact; (iii) provide an estimate for budgetary allocation for compensation of loss of assets and resettlement benefits; and (iv) provide procedure for internal and external monitoring of resettlement implementation.

11. The impacts are documented in the RP drawing on the presently available design of the road alignment as of September 2011. If situation demands, the RP will be appropriately updated following final engineering design of the road track, corresponding to the affected persons (APs) with actual magnitude of impact on their assets and associated compensation.

1.4 RP-related Conditionality

- 12. Project implementation works will be contingent on the compliance to the following conditions :
- Approval of this RP by the Government of Timor-Leste and ADB.
- Full disclosure of this RP to the public.
- Full implementation of the compensation program described in this RP including the full delivery of compensation to the APs.
- External monitoring agency/consultant is in place.
- Granting of 'No objection" by ADB to commence civil works.



2. SCOPE OF LAND RESETTLEMENT

2.1 Scope and Rationale for Land Acquisition/Resettlement

13. Improvement of the Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road will be carried out confining within the existing width of the present embankment, requiring no additional land. Widening and raising of the road will partly affect 11 shops (2 semi-permanent structures and 9 kiosks) either squatters or encroachers and 1 tree in the government-owned land along the road alignment. The existing road corridor is deemed to be stretching on government land. For understanding the adverse social and resettlement impacts of the project interventions, a 100% census combined with socioeconomic survey was carried out during 8 – 14 September 2011 to make an inventory of losses (IOL), and to develop a baseline of socioeconomic status of the households likely to suffer from project implementation. A structured questionnaire (Annex 1) was used to collect details of the affected families (AFs). Photographs were taken at the time of carrying out the survey works, showing below as the records of evidence.







Interview with affected household head

2.2 Summary of Impacts

14. The Project carried out an extensive exercise to minimize adverse resettlement impacts. In this process the Project identified a total quantity of 14.5 square meters of structure to be affected due to implementation of physical works. Project intervention will affect 12 households which include a total of 92 people within these families. Table 2.1 summarizes the resettlement impact of the Project, section 2.4 of this chapter details the losses in each category.

Table 2.1: Summary of Impacts

| Impact Category | Magnitude of Impact |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Affected Families | 12 households |
| Affected Persons | 92 people |
| Number of affected structures | 2 |
| Total area of affected structures | 14.5 Sqm |
| Affected Kiosks | 9 Nos |
| Affected Trees | 1 |

2.3 Measures to Minimize Land Acquisition Impact

15. Improvement with widening of the road is planned to be carried out limiting within the existing width of the road. Keeping the provision of carriageway width 6 meters all the way, the shoulder provision is proposed up to 1.5 meters. Initially, the proposed widening of the road involved significant impacts on land, structure trees and other assets. In subsequent effort to avoid and minimize resettlement impacts to the extent possible, the



Project adopted a compromised strategy to reduce the embankment width at certain sections of the tortuous stretch:

- adjusting within the available government-owned lands;
- paying careful attention to the detailed design of the road to stay close to the existing alignment as far as possible to avoid land obtaining impact;
- realigning the embankment to avoid structure or the built-up areas where possible;
- paying careful attention to the detailed alignment in the vicinity of sensitive cultural features such as cemetery and places of worship in order to avoid impacts upon them.
- 16 Following the above alternatives, a great extent of land impact has been minimized. In the preliminary assessment, a total of 335 households were estimated to be affected. After reviewing the impacts and realigning the road stretch, the number of households has been substantially reduced to only 12 households. Table 2.2 shows the measures taken to minimize the resettlement impact along the road corridor.

Total No. of **Districts** No. of No. of No. of Mitigation structures to structures family to be family to be measures be affected avoided affected affected adopted before before after after mitigation mitigation mitigation mitigation measures measures measures measures Realignment Dili 15 15 25 Nil & Adjustment of Width Realignment Liquica 197 194 310 12 & Adjustment of Width Total 212 210 335 12

Table 2.2: Measures to minimize resettlement

2.4 Impact Assessment

2.4.1 Affected Households and Persons

17. A total of 12 households will be affected. Total number of members of these families is 92. Among these, 48 are male and 44 are female. A list of the affected household with magnitude of impact is presented in Annex 2.

2.4.2 Impact on Land

18. No private land will be affected. As noted earlier, the entire road improvement works is proposed to be carried out within the existing road corridor or on the land owned by the government. AH are squatters on these land areas.

2.4.3 Impact on Crops

19. Since no agricultural land will be affected, there will be no impact on crops.



2.4.4 Impact on Trees

20. Only 1 tree alongside the road at km 28 will have to be removed. The tree does not bear any fruit or wood value, only can be used as fire wood.

2.4.5 Impact on Structures

21. There are 2 shops to be partly affected involving a total of 15.5m² of structure area – one shop will lose about 10.5m² and the other will lose about 4.0m². These are semi permanent structures either squatters or encroachers on roadside government lands. Apart from these structures, 9 small business structures, also known as kiosks, will require to be moved back for a short duration during only the construction time of the respective sections of the road. These are actually thatched structures.

2.4.6 Impact on Income

22. After mitigation, there is no impact on loss of income due to acquisition of agricultural land, rent from structure or similar sources. Only loss of income will be occurred due to structure loss of 2 semi-permanent shops and removal of 9 small business kiosks for few months during contraction work in respective sections of the road.

2.4.7 Gender Impact

23. Attempts were made during census survey to assess the gender impacts of the Project. Discussions were undertaken with the women and community people to look into the current status and needs of the women in the subproject area and the potential impact of the Project on them. The assessment has not brought forth any adverse impacts of the Project on women in particular. Rather, the Project is anticipated to benefit them by strengthening connectivity and by accelerating new economic opportunities and poverty reduction that contribute towards the overall quality of life in their area.



3. SOCIOECONOMIC INFORMATION AND PROFILE

3.1 Working Methodology

24. A 100% socio-economic survey combined with 100% census of the APs was carried out during 8 - 14 September 2011 to provide requisite details on the affected households (AHs), assessing the magnitude of impacts and to identify measures for mitigation of adverse impacts. Interviews were held with the heads of the AHs and family members at their residences to collect socioeconomic information of the affected population. Structured questionnaire (Annex 1) was used to collect details of the affected households.

3.2 Demographic Characteristics of APs²

3.2.1 Population of APs

25. According to the census and socioeconomic survey, total identified APs are 92 splitting into 48 male and 44 female (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1: Population of APs

| SI. No | Description | Number of APs | Percent (%) |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1 | Male | 48 | 52 |
| 2 | Female | 44 | 48 |
| | Total | 92 | 100 |

3.2.2 Age of APs

26. Majority of the affected population are within age 18 to 50. A significant portion of APs are minor, i.e. under age 18.

Table 3.2: Age distribution of APs

| Age features of the AP | No of AP | % of AP |
|------------------------|----------|---------|
| <18 years of age | 36 | 39.13 |
| Between 18 and 50 | 49 | 53.26 |
| Between 51 and 70 | 7 | 7.61 |
| > 70 years of age | 0 | - |
| Total | 92 | 100 |

3.2.3 Marital Status of APs

27. Table 3.3 shows the marital status of the APs. From marital point of view, maximum of the APs (39.13%) are minor, 32.6% are unmarried and 25% are married.

Table 3.3: Marital Status of the APs

| Marital Status | Male | | Female | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Minor | 21 | 43.75 | 15 | 34.09 | 36 | 39.13 |
| Unmarried | 16 | 33.33 | 14 | 31.81 | 30 | 32.60 |
| Married | 11 | 22.91 | 12 | 27.27 | 23 | 25 |
| Divorced/Separated | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Widow | - | - | 3 | 6.81 | 3 | 3.26 |
| Total | 48 | 100 | 44 | 100 | 92 | 100 |

² All data on demographic characteristics of APs are derived from the result of the socioeconomic survey carried out during 08 – 14 September 2011.



3.2.4 Education of APs

28. Among the total number of APs, 12 are below or equal to age 4, i.e. below school age. Apart from them, it was found that majority of the affected population (42.5%) can read and write. 32.5% have senior high school or equivalent education attainment (Table 3.4).

Table 3.4: Education of APs

| Level of Education | No. of AP | % of AP |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Illiterate | 19 | 23.75 |
| Able to read and write | 34 | 42.5 |
| Senior High School Equivalent | 26 | 32.5 |
| University Graduate Equivalent/Upper | 1 | 1.25 |
| Total | 80 | 100 |

3.2.5 Ethnicity of APs

29. No major ethnic diversity or indigenous characteristics were observed among the surveyed APs. All the surveyed APs can be termed as mainstream Timor-Leste citizens. All of the surveyed APs were found to be followers of Christianity. All the surveyed APs were found to be using Tetum as the primary language.

Table 3.5: Religion of APs

| Religion | No of AP | % of AP |
|--------------|----------|---------|
| Christianity | 92 | 100 |
| Islam | ı | |
| Buddhism | ı | |
| Hinduism | ı | |
| Other | - | |
| Total | 92 | 100 |

3.3 Characteristics of Households

3.3.1 Family Structure

30. Majority of the households surveyed are nuclear family households (9 out of 12). Maximum family member was found to be 11 and minimum was 6. In an average, each AH has more than 7 members.

Table 3.6: Family Structure and Composition

| Family | Structure | and | Number of AH |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------|
| Composit | ion | | |
| Nuclear fai | mily households | | 9 |
| Extended family households | | | 3 |
| Maximum | number of people | in AH | 11 |
| Minimum r | number of people i | n AH | 6 |

3.3.2 Economic Profile of AH

31. Majority of the AH has an annual income between USD 500 to 2000. Only 16.67% have annual income in extend of USD 2000 but below 5000. More than 90% of the AH depend on business as source of income and about 8% are engaged in service.



Table 3.7: Annual Income of Affected Families

| Amount (USD) | Number of Families | Percent (%) | Average Income |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Up to 500 | - | | |
| Above 500 and below 2000 | 10 | 83.33 | 1340 |
| Above 2000 and below 5000 | 2 | 16.67 | 3000 |
| Above 5000 and below 10000 | - | - | |
| Above 10000 | - | - | |
| Total | 12 | 100 | 1616.67 |

Source: Survey carried out in September 2011.

Table 3.8: Main Occupation of Affected Families

| Type of Occupation | Number of Families | Percent |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Agriculture | - | |
| Commercial/Business | 11 | 91.66 |
| Service Holder | 1 | 8.33 |
| Total | 12 | 100 |

Source: Survey carried out in September 2011.

3.3.3 Quality of Life Indicators

32. All the AH have electricity supply and piped water supply. However, none has the year round accessibility of road.

Table 3.9: Housing, Electricity and Water

| Electricity | Percent |
|--|---------|
| AH having electricity supply | 100% |
| Water supply | - |
| AH having piped water supply | 100% |
| AH having water supply from protected well | - |
| AH having water supply from un-protected sources | - |
| Accessibility | |
| Year-round accessibility | - |

3.4 Economic Details of AHs

3.4.1 Poverty Status

33. Being located in the capital of the country, the poverty situation around the Dili section of the project road is better than the section in Liquica district. According to the Timor-Leste Survey of Living Standard (TLSLS 2007, the poverty incidence in Dili is 43.3% whereas the same in national level is 49.9% (Table 3.14). Other measures of poverty are also better than the national figures which includes poverty depth 9.8% (whereas national poverty depth is 13.6%) and poverty severity 3.1% (whereas national poverty severity is 5.1%). Dili accounts for 21.97% of the total population of the country and 16.2% of the

⁴ Timor-Leste Population and Housing Census, 2010



³ Timor-Leste: Poverty in a Young Nation, November 2008

country's total poor population. However, the poverty analysis of the segment of the road falling in Liquica district is much worse than that of Dili district. Poverty incidence in Liquica is 44.9%. The district accounts for 5.5% of the total population but 6.1% of the total poor. The depth of poverty, i.e. how far below the poverty line the poor fall is 11.9%.

Table 3.10: Poverty Status in Impact Area

| District | Poverty Incidence | Poverty Depth | Poverty Severity | % of Population | % of Total Poor |
|----------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Dili | 43.3 | 9.8 | 3.1 | 18.6 | 16.2 |
| Liquica | 44.9 | 11.9 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 5.5 |

34. Average annual income of the project AHs in particular is USD 1616.67, i.e. USD 134.72 per month. Average family members per AH is 7.67. Hence average income per month per person of the AHs is USD 17.57. The TLSLS 2007 defines the upper poverty line to be US\$ 26.68 per month per person and the lower poverty line to be US\$ 21.53 per month per person. The poverty status of the AHs therefore is below the poverty line.

3.4.2 Impact of Project on AHs Livelihood

35. I household will lose a big firewood tree resulting in loss of an asset. 9 households will temporarily lose their income from the kiosks during construction of the particular section of the road that lies within the respective areas. After construction, they will reestablish these kiosks and will continue their business as usual.



4. LEGAL POLICY FRAMEWORK AND ENTITLEMENTS

4.1 Introduction

36. The RP is based on ADB's *Safeguards Policy Statement of 2009* (SPS 2009) as well as the Timor-Leste's applicable/domestic policy instruments and laws. The RP fits with the provisions of the Resettlement Framework (RF) that has been formulated to provide the basis of preparing the RP to mitigate adverse impacts under the subproject. The following section deals with these policies with a comparison of two policies and subsequently deals with the entitlements and eligibility for compensation and other resettlement entitlements.

4.2 Purpose and Objectives of Land Acquisition and Resettlement

37. The government proposes to improve the Dili-Tibar-Liquica road to promote quality and safety and ensure greater economic and social benefits to the people. It will be designed taking into account social safeguard policies of ADB that include avoiding and/or minimizing resettlement impacts. However, this road improvement includes widening and realignment and hence it is likely to have some unavoidable impacts on assets of non-titleholders. However, as a result of an extensive exercise in minimizing adverse resettlement impacts, the Project reduced impacts to a small number of families.

4.3 Existing Policy and Legal Framework for Resettlement in Timor-Leste

- 38. Section 141 of the Constitution states that the ownership, use and development of land as one of the factors for economic production shall be regulated by law. Section 54 of the Constitution covers the right to private property and provides for:
- (i) Every individual has the right to private property and can transfer it during his or her lifetime or on death, in accordance with the law.
- (ii) Private property should not be used to the detriment of its social purpose.
- (iii) Requisitioning and expropriation of property for public purposes shall only take place following fair compensation in accordance with the law.
- (iv) Only national citizens have the right to ownership of land.
- 39. The first land law of Timor-Leste was promulgated in March 2003 and was designed to serve as an umbrella law for the rest of the land and property regime. The law defined State property of private domain, established the Directorate of Land, Property and Cadastral Services (DLPCS) as a legal entity and defined its jurisdiction, and articulated general rules concerning land tenure and property rights to be further developed by ensuing legislation. Moreover, this law established a one-year period for both nationals and non-nationals to register their land claims. Effectively Law No. 1/2003 vests all land that belonged to the Portuguese state, and all state property acquired or built by the Indonesian regime, in the new state of Timor-Leste.
- 40. A decree issued by the Government in February 2011 provides for granting compensation to relocate unlawful occupants of State property based on humanitarian considerations. The Ministry of Justice (MOJ) through Ministerial Statute establishes the basis for calculating compensation. Another decree promulgated in July 2011 passed in June 2011 provides for the granting of title certificates to landowners/persons in areas where cadastral surveys have been completed (following registration and verification of claims by the government) and confirmed that the claims to land are undisputed. Among



the claims registered so far under the Ita Nia Rai program, some 92 per cent of claims are undisputed.

- 41. There are four pieces of draft legislation relating to land awaiting parliamentary approval:
- The Civil Code which will form the pillar of civil law in East Timor includes a section that governs day-to-day land decisions such as the sale and lease of land;
- The Transitional Land Law sets the scene for all land issues in the future, deciding who owns what land and in the case of conflicting claims, who has the strongest right to the land;
- The Expropriation Law that would allow the state to take land for "public good" for example, to build ports and other infrastructure.
- The Real Estate Finance Fund to provide compensation as determined under the other laws.
- 42. There are no specific laws on involuntary land acquisition and compensation. The legal basis for declaring the right of way outside the current road footprints has not been established. When road works required land in the past, the Government negotiated with the owners or users (including squatters) on a case by case basis. According to past practice, when land was required for project development, concerned parties under the direction of local authorities (district and sub- district Land and Property Units and village) negotiated and reached agreement on compensation rates, total compensation amount, and the procedures or mechanism for compensation and transfer.

4.4 ADB Safeguard Policies

- 43. ADB's Safeguard Policy Statement (2009) provides comprehensive guidance on complying with the requirements for environmental and social safeguards (involuntary resettlement and Indigenous Peoples) in Projects. It aims to avoid or minimize the impacts on people affected by a project, and to provide support and assistance for those who lose their land and property, as well as for others whose livelihoods are affected by the acquisition of land or restriction on land use. Resettlement planning is designed to provide APs with a standard of living equal to, if not better than, that which they had before the project. The policy is guided by the following principles:
- Involuntary resettlement is to be avoided or at least minimized;
- Compensation must ensure the maintenance or improvement of the APs' preproject living standards;
- APs should be fully informed and consulted on compensation options;
- APs' socio-cultural institutions should be supported/used as much as possible;
- Compensation will be carried out with equal consideration of women and men;
- Lack of formal legal land title should not be a hindrance to assistance/rehabilitation;
- Particular attention should be paid to households headed by women and vulnerable groups, such as indigenous people and ethnic minorities, and appropriate assistance should be provided to help them improve their status;



- Land acquisition and resettlement should be conceived and executed as a part of the Project, and the full costs of compensation should be included in project costs;
- Compensation/rehabilitation assistance will be paid prior to ground levelling and demolition, and in any case, before an impact occurs.

The policy comprises three important elements:

- (i) compensation to replace lost assets, livelihood, and income;
- (ii) assistance for relocation, including provision of relocation sites with appropriate facilities and services; and
- (iii) assistance for rehabilitation to improve or achieve at least the same level of wellbeing with the project as without it.
- 44. A project's involuntary resettlement category is determined by the category of its most sensitive component in terms of involuntary resettlement impacts. The involuntary resettlement impacts of an ADB-supported project are considered significant if 200 or more persons will experience major impacts, which are defined as (i) being physically displaced from housing, or (ii) losing 10% or more of their productive assets (income generating). The level of detail and comprehensiveness of the resettlement plan are commensurate with the significance of the potential impacts and risks.

4.5 Project Policy Framework and Entitlements

- 45. The legal and policy framework on resettlement in Timor-Leste has been compared with the requirements of ADB and some gaps have been identified. The gap filling measures and resettlement policy principles for the project are as follows:
- (i) The Constitution gives the power to the state to expropriate land for public purposes paying due compensation in accordance with the law. While there are some laws on ownership of state land and claims to private land (undisputed parcels), no law is in place that regulates how that expropriation can take place or compensation measures. The draft Expropriation Law is awaiting Parliament's approval. When the expropriation law is enacted, the Government will be able to acquire land for road improvement under "eminent domain" or involuntarily. Until an expropriation mechanism is in place, the project will follow the approach of negotiated settlement/purchase of land.
- (ii) A substantive amount of land in Timor is not registered. The Government has started issuing land title certificates in urban areas, which will help in identifying land owners. In rural areas where most of the road sections will be improved, the DLPCS will identify and establish ownership claims for the land parcels required for the project roads.
- (iii) The absence of an active land market in rural areas poses a challenge to determine the market or replacement value of the affected land. Professional valuation expertise under the Project Management Unit (PMU) supported by ADB will be requested to assist the DLPCS for valuation of affected assets to determine fair compensation at replacement cost to APs;
- (iv) Resettlement impacts will be minimized through careful engineering design;



- (v) APs will be systematically informed and consulted during the entire process of resettlement planning and implementation, including assessment of possible impacts on their livelihoods, purchase of land/assets, determining compensation/resettlement options, and socio-economic rehabilitation measures. They will be informed of their rights and options and be invited to participate actively in the identification of mitigation and rehabilitation measures;
- (vi) The APs will be identified and recorded as early as possible in order to establish their eligibility through a population record or census that serves as an eligibility cutoff date, usually around the time of initial consultations, at the subproject identification stage, to prevent a subsequent influx of encroachers or others who wish to take advantage of such benefits;
- (vii) Consistent with donor policies, eligible APs are those that: a) have formal legal rights to land (including customary and traditional rights recognized under the laws of the country); b) do not have formal legal rights to land at the time the census begins but have a claim to such land or assets--provided that such claims are recognized under the laws of the country or become recognized through a process identified in the resettlement plan; and c.) have no recognizable legal right or claim to the land they are occupying, before the cut-off date.
- (viii) Eligible APs are entitled to compensation and livelihood rehabilitation measures sufficient to assist them to improve or at least maintain their pre-subproject living standards, income earning capacity and production levels;
- (ix) In the consultation process, representatives of local governments, village chiefs, other community leaders and civil society organizations such as non-government organizations (NGOs) from the operational areas will be included. The customs and traditions, as well as the religious practices of all APs, will be respected and protected:
- (x) The institutions of APs, and, where relevant of their hosts, are to be protected and supported. Physically displaced APs will be assisted to integrate economically and socially into host communities so that adverse impacts on the host communities are minimized and social harmony is promoted;
- (xi) Lack of formal legal rights to assets lost will not deprive any AP from receiving compensation and payments for non-land assets and entitlements;
- (xii) Particular attention will be paid to the needs of vulnerable APs. This group of APs may include those without legal or recognizable title to the land or other assets, households headed by females, the elderly or disabled, and other vulnerable groups, such as people living in extreme hardship, and indigenous people that may be a minority in specific locations. Appropriate assistance will be provided to help them improve their socio-economic status;
- (xiii) The concerns of women will be identified based on gender disaggregated socioeconomic data, separate discussions on women's concerns, and ensuring adequate measures and budgetary allocations in the resettlement plan to compensate and resettle them in a manner that does not disadvantage them. In this effort the assistance of national NGOs currently engaged in women's welfare will be sought;
- (xiv) Since there are no significant differences in cultural and socio-economic identity among the different language groups, no specific adverse impacts are anticipated



- to warrant separate indigenous peoples' plans. To ensure that the different language groups fully participate in planning and implementing resettlement, discussions and reports will be prepared and disclosed appropriately in the relevant languages. RPs will also include provision for any special measures that may be required;
- (xv) Resettlement planning decisions will be preceded by a social preparation phase where consultations will be held with APs, community leaders, local administrators, and NGOs to enhance the participation of these APs in negotiation, planning, and implementation;
- (xvi) A Valuation Specialist will be provided under the PMU to assist the DLPCS to have appropriate rates in place. Payment for physical assets, i.e. houses, buildings and other structures, and non-physical assets such as lost income from productive assets or jobs, will be calculated at replacement cost and included in the RP;
- (xvii) APs losing only part of their physical assets will not be left with a proportion inadequate to sustain their current standard of living. Such a minimum size will be identified and agreed upon during the resettlement planning process;
- (xviii) Land for land or asset for asset compensation is always the preferred method. However, if insufficient land or assets are available, or if the affected people have a preference and the resettlement impacts are considered to be minor and do not undermine the livelihoods of APs, cash payment at replacement costs will be provided based on negotiation with APs. For those experiencing severe impacts (more than 10% of productive land severely affected people (i.e. those APs experiencing significant impacts) assistance will be given to identify and purchase alternative land. Efforts will also be made to provide sustainable livelihood restoration measures so that affected people can improve or at least restore their standard of living to pre-project levels;
- (xix) A grievance redress mechanism, linked with existing traditional formal and informal systems and cognizant of cultural requirements, will be established to solve resettlement related disputes and complaints from APs;
- (xx) The full cost of land purchase and resettlement will be included by the government in the project cost and adequate budgetary provision shall be made available during implementation;
- (xxi) All land purchase, compensation, resettlement activities will be satisfactorily completed and the subproject areas cleared of all obstructions before the commencement of civil works; and
- (xxii) No works with resettlement impacts will be implemented before a RP has been prepared and approved in line with the RF. The general process for preparing RPs includes:
 - Consultation with APs relevant stakeholders, and whenever necessary, assistance of relevant institutions to ensure effective consultations;
 - Undertaking of a participatory inventory of losses (IOL), which will be updated during a detailed measurement survey (DMS) following the detailed design;
 - Determination of replacement cost for APs losing assets (land, physical assets, means of livelihood, or social support systems) will be compensated



and assisted, through adequate and satisfactory to the AP, replacement land, housing, infrastructure, resources, income sources, and services, in cash or in kind, so that their economic and social circumstances will be improved or at least restored to the pre-project level. All compensation will be based on the principle of replacement cost at current market value. When necessary, livelihood restoration programs acceptable to the local community will be put in place to help people improve, or at least restore, incomes to pre-project levels;

- Preparing a cost estimate and budget including the costs of compensation, relocation and rehabilitation, social preparation and livelihood programs. The budget will also include the costs for planning, management, supervision, monitoring and evaluation, land taxes, land fees, physical and price contingencies, and implementation of the RP;
- Inclusion of a grievance mechanism based on cultural practices and agreeable to APs.
- Inclusion of a monitoring system appropriate reporting and monitoring and evaluation will be established as part of the resettlement management system, this will be set out in the RP.

4.6 Eligibility and Entitlements

46. This RP will apply to the Road Network Upgrading Sector Project for implementing the Dili-Tibar-Liquica subproject. This will ensure that all persons affected by losing of any fixed or mobile assets, will get appropriate compensation and rehabilitation assistance.

4.6.1 Cut-off Date and Eligibility

- 47. Cut-off Date under the Project is the date after which people will <u>not</u> be considered eligible for compensation, i.e. they are not included in the list of APs as defined by the census. Normally, the cut-off-date is the date of the detailed measurement survey. The cut-off date for this subproject has been set as on 08 September 2011. PMU/MOI were informed about the cut-off day to inform the local communities. People who move into the area after this date will not be entitled to any compensation. In case of the present subproject, the RP recognizes the affected persons (also known as squatters) eligible to receive compensation for their losses although they have no formal title but have a claim to the assets.
- 48. Compensation at replacement cost for affected assets (houses, other structures) crops and trees will be adequate to replace losses.
- 49. APs will receive fair compensation in cash at replacement value for the affected assets such as temporary structures, kiosks and other assets. Compensation at replacement costs have been determined based on the information gathered through rapid appraisal and consultation with the project affected people and their community, and relevant local authorities during the census and inventory of loss survey. The APs will also receive a one-time lump sum amount of US\$ 100 for each affected kiosk/structure as transfer grant. Besides, each AH will receive a transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for 2 months. The vulnerable APs or women HH will be entitled to an additional transitional allowance for 1 month at monthly rate of US\$ 100.00. This rate of US\$ 100 as transitional allowance will be entitled for 4 months for the widow headed HH. The compensation and entitlements are presented in Table 4.1



Table 4.1: Entitlement Matrix

| T | l locit of | Details of Entitlements | D !!- !!! (| |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Type of | Unit of | Details of Entitlements | Responsibility | |
| Loss 1. Assets of | Entitlement Informal settlers | - Componentian at replacement | DLDCS with assistance | |
| squatters or informal settlers. | / squatters / non-tilted APs identified by IOL losing assets/ commercial structure. | Compensation at replacement cost of affected structure estimated during IOL and finalized with DLPCS. Reconstruction grant estimated during IOL and finalized with DLPCS. Transitional allowance of US\$100 for 2 months per HH. Salvaged materials free of cost. | DLPCS with assistance from the PMU's Valuation Specialist and in consultation with relevant authorities will finalize the compensation at replacement cost for the affected structure as identified during IOL. | |
| 2. Tree. | Claimant of the affected tree | Compensation at replacement cost of affected tree estimated during IOL and finalized with DLPCS. Salvaged materials free of cost. | DLPCS, with assistance from PMU's Valuation Specialist, and in consultation with relevant authorities, will determine the fair compensation at replacement cost. (One large tree will have to be removed at km 28 of the road which has no fruit or wood value, only bears fire wood value). | |
| 3. Income from business. | Mobile and ambulatory (kiosks). | One time lump sum transfer grant of US\$ 100 per kiosk. Transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for 2 months per HH. | PMU with assistance of local authorities and community leaders. | |
| 4. Impact on vulnerable APs. | Vulnerable and women headed households identified by IOL (owners of 2 kiosks – one is women headed and the other is widow headed). | In addition to the above standard package, vulnerable AP households will receive following: - Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for 1 month for women headed household. - Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for 4 months for widow headed HH. | PMU in coordination with DLPCS and with assistance from the local authorities and community leaders. | |
| 5. Unforeseen Impact. | Concerned impactees. | Unforeseen impacts will be documented and mitigated based on the principles agreed upon in this policy framework. | PMU identifies and mitigates impacts as required. | |



5. PARTICIPATORY CONSULTATION AND DISCLOSURE

5.1 Stakeholders

- 50. This RP has been prepared in consultation with the key local and national stakeholders. Table 5.1 summarizes the consultations that have been undertaken for preparation of the RP. Importance was given to consult the leaders of local communities, particularly the suco/village and hamlet chiefs, along the project road corridor to receive their support to the Project. Most importantly, attention was given to the directly affected persons and their community to understand their attitude towards the project design and benefits, their expectations, and to create awareness among the affected population regarding their entitlements and compensation payment procedures and grievances redress mechanism. In the process of considering mitigation measures, their suggestions have been taken into account to avoid negative impacts to the extent possible.
- 51. Community people of different areas expressed different concerns, but the main issues concerning the project impacts and AP's expectation and their suggestions on mitigation measures appeared more or less similar. The key local and national stakeholders that were consulted on the Project include:
- local individuals and communities;
- special interest groups;
- government authorities and public sector agencies;
- non-government organizations (NGOs);
- financing agency and other international development agencies; and
- the affected persons.

5.2 Key Issues

52. Consultations, especially at suco and aldeia levels, were dominated by questions and concerns relating to the land ownership issue, negotiated compensation for land and other assets lost, and impacts on livelihoods, impact on religious and cultural sites and on services. The key issues that were frequently raised in the consultation are summarized as follows:

Land Acquisition, Compensation and Livelihoods Restoration

- the methods that will be used to determine compensation values for land, structures and other assets; responsibility for compensation determination (MOI/PMU, DLPCS, Valuation Expert, Supervision Consultants or a compensation committee); whether compensation rates (e.g. for land) will be at the current market prices;
- fate of people who have no legal right to the land on which they have settled, whether will be entitled to compensation for land, and for other losses, e.g., houses, crops, trees;
- compensation for the houses that will be affected only in a part;
- the method of compensation disbursements; timing of when compensation payments will be made;



- loss of employment to shop and business employees; no possible guarantee that the same employees will be able to get the same job in a relocated business:
- use of middlemen/agents in compensation payments.

Services and Religious/Cultural Sites

- impact on existing religious sites, such as church and cemetery, and how would be treated;
- impact on existing electric supply.

Employment Opportunities

 assurance of employment opportunity for the affected and local people during road construction works.



Community consultation at Comoro Suco on 07 September, 20011



Community consultation at Tibar Suco on 08 September, 2011

5.3 Community Attitude

- 53. Many people anticipated that the Project could lead to substantial development and the improvement of infrastructure, services and facilities in the area. The Project was seen as an opportunity to develop the region and its people. In the consultation meetings, almost all the participants responded by stating that the Project is necessary for improving connectivity, creating jobs, increasing agricultural productivity and reducing poverty.
- 54. In the survey stage, the people likely to be directly affected were supportive of the Project, participating in the consultation process through responding to the various surveys. They also expressed their support to the Project with the expectation of getting fair compensation for their affected assets.
- 55. Both in the course of consultations and survey period, the participants and likely APs were asked about their preference on the type of compensation for their affected property. In all the meetings almost all the respondents unanimously preferred cash compensation for loss of land, structures, trees and other assets.



Table 5.1: Summary of Stakeholder Consultations

| Stakeholder | Activities Undertaken | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Category | | |
| National Level | Consultation with: Project and Financial Manager, PMU, MOI Chief Technical Adviser, PMU, RNDSP Director, National Statistics Directorate, Ministry of Finance, Timor-Leste Project Consultants | |
| District Level | Consultation with: Vice District Administrator, Ermera Sub-district Administrators: Dom Aleixo in Dili; Bazartete in Liquica Representatives of government departments: DLPCS in Subdistrict Railako and Gleno under Ermera District | |
| Suco/Village Chief | Consultation with the Suco Chiefs of 6 likely affected sucos in the Project area (Comoro, Tibar, Ulmara, Muta Ulun, Lau Hata, Mau-meta) | |
| Aldeia/Hamlet Chief | Consultation with concerned chiefs of Aldeias | |
| Suco/Villages | 6 suco-wise consultation meetings held - within Dili 1 and Liquica 5 | |
| Individual APs | Consultation and negotiation with individual APs to determine estimated costs of affected assets. | |
| Special Interest Groups | Discussions with specific groups of Project-affected people including women, farmers, the landless. | |
| Financing Agency | Consultation, on-site visit and correspondence (email communication) with ADB Senior Infrastructure Specialist, ADB, Timor-Leste Safeguard Specialist, Pacific Operations Division, Pacific Department, ADB, Manila Road Design Standard Specialist, ADB TA 4942-TIM | |
| Other International Agencies | Chief of Party, USAID contractor, ITA NIA RAI, Strengthening Property Rights in Timor-Leste Social Analyst, JFPR, Timor-Leste | |

56. In total, 6 consultation meetings have been held at the suco/village level along the existing road corridor, involving a total of 295 participants. Some pictures of consultation meetings are given in Annex 3. The Minutes and Participant Lists are given in Annex 4. Table 5.2 summarizes the consultation program.

Table 5.2: Consultation Meetings

| SI | Date | Time | Venue | No. of |
|-----|---------------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| No. | | | (Suco) | Participants |
| 1 | 07 Sept. 2011 | 10.0 AM | Comoro | 63 |
| 2 | 08 Sept. 2011 | 10.0 AM | Tibar | 53 |
| 3 | 08 Sept. 2011 | 03.0 PM | Ulmere | 55 |
| 4 | 09 Sept. 2011 | 10.0 AM | Mota Ulun | 44 |
| 5 | 09 Sept. 2011 | 03.0 PM | Law Hata | 46 |
| 6 | 10 Sept. 2011 | 10.0 AM | Mau-meta | 34 |
| | | | Total | 295 |

5.4 Disclosure

57. After approval of this RP by MOI and ADB, a summary of this resettlement plan along with the entitlement matrix will be translated into local language (Tetum/Portuguese) and will be disclosed to stakeholders, especially affected persons, by the MOI. This will be



presented in the form of a pamphlet/brochure (see Annex 5) to enable the APs and local communities to read it by themselves and be aware of the Project's benefit / compensation available for the APs, as per provision in the 'entitlement matrix'. MOI field staff / consultant will distribute the brochures through suco meetings and will explain the mechanisms and procedures and overall process of the compensation program. The RP will be made available in the Ministry of Infrastructure (MOI) including MOI's field offices, Directorate of Land Property and Cadastral Services, offices of District Administrators and Sib-District Administrators, offices of the Suco Chiefs, Aldeia leaders, as an official public document. The RP will be publicly available after approval.



6. GRIEVANCE REDRESS MECHANISM

6.1 Proposed Measures to Address Grievance

- 58. In the absence of national legislation on land expropriation, it is important to deal effectively with APs concerns pertaining to resettlement impacts such as compensation, rehabilitation, delays in payment. To ensure this, a simple, accessible, transparent and effective grievance redress system will be established.
- 59. Existing community processes will be used for dealing with disputes. They include the suco chiefs (village is suco), other elders, church leaders and other recognized civil society leaders. ITA NIA RAI's experience with conflict resolution and dispute mediation in property rights will be drawn upon as necessary to strengthen PMU's capacity in addressing land related issues.
- 60. The Project Manager of the sub-project will be the focal point for receiving and resolving any grievances of APs.
- 61. APs will not be liable for any costs associated with resorting to the grievance redress process; any such costs will be reimbursed by the project.
- 62. The AP always has final recourse through Timor-Leste's legal channels. However, every effort will be made to avoid this. Should the APs want to pursue legal recourse, PMU/MOI with assistance from the PISC consultants will ensure that support is given to the AP to prepare a case. The grievance address procedure is shown in Table 6.1
- 63. Through public consultations and distribution of the public information booklet, APs will be informed that they have a right to file complaints and/or queries to Grievance Redress Committee (GRC) on any aspects of land acquisition compensation⁵, or on any other related grievance. It should be pointed out that this committee does not possess any legal mandate or authority to resolve land issues but rather acts as an advisory body or facilitator to try to resolve issues between the affected household and the MOI/PMU. GRCs will review grievances involving in all resettlement benefits, relocation and other assistance, other than disputes relating to ownership right under the court of law. The GRC is proposed to be composed of:
- Representative of affected persons
- Representatives of the local administration (district/subdistrict administration and chiefs of suco/aldeia)
- Representative from MOI-PMU/ESU
- Representative from DLPCS
- Representative of the PISC

⁵ Negotiated compensation at replacement cost for affected physical and non-physical assets until the expropriation law is in place.



Page | 22

Table 6.1: Grievance Redress Procedure.

| Stage | Process | Duration | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | AP submits grievance to village chief in person and village chief sends to PMU | | |
| 2 | Village chief in coordination with PMU facilitates to redress grievance and reports back to AP | 7 days | |
| | If unresolved or if AP wanted to go directly to | PMU | |
| 3 | AP/village chief takes grievance to PMU/community liaison officer | Within 1 week of receipt of decision in step 2 | |
| 4 | Issue discussed at project liaison meeting | 1 week | |
| 5 | PMU/community liaison officer reports back to village/AP | 5 days | |
| | If unresolved | | |
| 6 | AP/village chief take grievance to MOI Secretary of State | Within 1 week of receipt of decision in step 5 | |
| 7 | Secretary of State refers matter to an internal committee | 1 week | |
| 8 | Secretary of State reports back to AP/village chief | 5 days | |
| If unresolved or at any stage if AP is not satisfied | | | |
| AP/village chief can take the matter to appropriate court As per judicial sys | | | |



7. INCOME RESTORATION

7.1 Restoration of Income from Business

64. According to the September 2011 Census and subsequent exercise of impact mitigation measure, 12 households will be affected. Of these, 2 households will be partly affected by losing a part of their structures and 9 household will face of loss of income from business during construction period. In these cases a lump sum transitional allowance will be paid to the AHs. Vulnerable APs will be eligible for a one time rehabilitation grant. Ambulatory vendors will be considered as kiosks (small temporary shops are kiosks in local language) and will receive a lump sum transfer grant.

7.2 Compensation for Crops and Trees

65. As per the Census and Socioeconomic Survey, 2011, there shall be no loss of annual or seasonal crops. Only one tree will have to be removed.

7.3 Compensation for Assets of Squatters

66. In these cases, payment will be made for structure and other assets at replacement cost. A lump sum amount will be provided for shifting household assets. Vulnerable APs losing commercial activities will be provided a 1 time rehabilitation grant per family. APs will have right to salvage materials from the demolished structure.

7.4 Other Impacts not Identified

67. Unforeseen impacts will be documented and mitigated based on the principles agreed upon the RF.



8. INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

8.1 Institutional Setup

68. The PMU under the MOI will have overall responsibility for implementing the subproject. The PMU will strengthen its capacity with a social safeguard specialist to plan and implement land purchase and resettlement, train counterpart staff and monitor resettlement in the sub-project. To this end an Environmental and Social Unit (ESU) will be established in the PMU, staffed by a national social safeguards specialist and an international social safeguards specialist. The PISC consultant on the project will also provide an international social safeguards specialist to work with the PMU on all resettlement and consultations tasks. An organizational chart for RP implementation is suggested in Figure 2.

Ministry of Infrastructure ADB SoSPW DRBFC PMU. **DLPCS** Property Valuation Committee PISC Social Safeguards **ESU Social Safeguards** Team Team (1xInternational Social (1xNationa Social Safeguards Specialist Safeguards Specialist and 1xNational Social Safeguards 1xInternationa Social Specialist and 1xSocial Safeguards Specialist) Safeguards Field Supervisor) Local Level MOI RP Implementing Suco Chiefs Organization /NGO Aldeia Chiefs Affected Persons GRC

Figure 2: Organizational Chart for RP Implementation

Abbreviations

ADB: Asian Development Bank

DLPCS : Directorate of Land, Property and Cadastral Services DRBFC : Directorate of Roads, Bridges and Flood Control

ESU: Environmental and Social Unit GRC: Grievance Redress Committee NGO: Non-Government Organization

PISC: Project Implementation and Support Consultants

PMU: Project Management Unit

SoSPW : Secretariat of State for Public Works



- 69. The PMU/ESU will be responsible for all environmental and social aspects of the road development work. On the social safeguards side, it will manage all resettlement activities. The following are its key functions:
- Planning, coordination, implementation and monitoring of resettlement;
- Conducting consultations and ensuring affected people are informed on the resettlement and compensation;
- Coordinating with MOI and DLPCS and ensuring prompt, adequate and timely compensation payment according to entitlements;
- Monitoring of resettlement activities and reporting.
- 70. A valuation specialist will be provided under the PMU to assist the DLPCS in valuation of property and to have appropriate compensation in place. The international safeguard specialist at PMU will assist in managing all resettlement activities including the process of reaching adequate compensation. If situation demands, PMU will engage an experienced NGO, active in the project area, to assist the process of consultations and negotiating compensation and in resolving disputes.

8.2 Resettlement Implementation Structure

71. The institutional responsibilities of different agencies for implementation of resettlement activities are shown in Table 8.1

Table 8.1: Responsibilities of Agencies

| Agency | Roles and Responsibilities |
|--|---|
| Executing Agency (EA) MOF/ Implementing Agency (IA) MOI | Overall execution of the Project Directs the PMU Provides funds for land purchase and resettlement Liaises with ADB |
| Project Management Unit (PMU)/MOI | Manages the social and resettlement aspects of the road sub-project Works with design and supervision consultant on all matters dealing with resettlement and consultations Organizes effective public consultation and disclosure of resettlement planning documents in appropriate languages Ensures that effective monitoring of resettlement is conducted and reported to funding agencies Ensures that the grievance redress system is effective in addressing APs concerns Works closely with NGOs in appropriate social and public consultation activities Liaises with ADB on all matters relating to resettlement and submits regular reports. |
| MOJ/DLPCS | Oversees and reviews implementation effectiveness of land purchase and resettlement Guides the PMU in addressing issues that require |



| Agency | Roles and Responsibilities |
|--------|---|
| | policy direction |
| ADB | Review and approve all resettlement documentation as required under their respective policies Assist in capacity building in the PMU to manage social safeguards in road projects through provision of international expertise and training budgets Disclose RP on respective websites Provide guidance as required based on progress reports and supervision missions |



9. RESETTLEMENT BUDGET AND FINANCING

9.1 Institutional Arrangements for Financing Resettlement

72. All costs associated with land purchase and resettlement will be provided by the government. The MOF/MOI will ensure that adequate funds are available for carrying out resettlement according to the budgets prepared for the sub-project. The PMU will coordinate/undertake allocation of funds, approval of payments, and delivery of funds, monitoring of progress and reporting.

9.2 Budget Description

73. The entire resettlement for Dili-Tibar-Liquica road improvement project will require a total of **7,980 USD** (**0.008 million US Dollar**), out of which **6,650 USD** is direct resettlement expense and the rest is kept to meet contingency expenses. Table 9.1 details the segregation of this amount. The included cost items are in compliance with the RF prepared for the project.

Table 9.1: Resettlement Budget

| Description | Quantity | Unit | Rate (US\$) | Total Cost (US\$) |
|--|------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Cost of Structure Compensation | | | | |
| Semi-Permanent structure | 14.5 | Sq. meters | 100 | 1450 |
| Reconstruction cost | 14.5 | Sq. meters | 100 | 1450 |
| Total Cost of Structure Compensation | on | | | 2900.00 |
| Compensation for Tree | 1 | No | 150 | 150.00 |
| Grant & Allowance | | | | |
| Transfer Grant for Kiosks | 9 | Lump sum | 100 | 900.00 |
| Transitional allowance for the AHs for loss of income from business for 2 months (shops + kiosks) | 11 | Lump sum | 100 | 2200.00 |
| Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for additional 1 month for women HH | 1 | Lump sum | 100 | 100.00 |
| Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for additional 4 months for widow HH | 1 | Lump sum | 100 | 400.00 |
| Total Allowance | | | | 3600.00 |
| Total Direct Expenses | | | | 6,650.00 |
| Contingency @ 20% of total direct cost | | - | | 1,330.00 |
| Total Resettleme | nt Expense | es | | 7,980.00 |



10. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE AND PREPARATORY ACTIONS

10.1 RP Implementation

74. MOI/PMU will begin the implementation process of RP immediately after its approval by the ADB. Grievances or objections (if any) will be redressed as per grievance redress procedure adopted in this RP. The steps for the delivery of compensation for all eligible AHs/APs will be the following:

i. Preparation of invoices:

Invoices for each of the eligible AHs/APs will be prepared by PMU. This document entitles each of the AHs/APs to receive the amount indicated in the invoice.

ii. Delivery of the money to local bank :

The money from MOI/MOF will be remitted to a bank in Dili City. A bank account will be opened by the PMU, and receive the amount of compensation on behalf of the AHs/APs.

iii. Payment:

Each AH/AP will receive a cash/cheque for the whole amount of compensation from the PMU. The AH/AP shall sign a document acknowledging the receipt of the whole compensation and a waiver attesting that he/she has no longer any pending claim over the affected property. A photograph shall be taken with the AH/AP receiving the compensation as record of proof and as part of project documentation.

iv. **Identity of Person**:

At the time of receiving the compensation cash/cheques, the AHs/APs will present their *National Identity Card (NIC)*. The NIC is the basic document of the Timor-Leste's national for establishing the identity of a person. Persons without NICs will have to explain to the pertinent authorities the reasons why they are not in possession of the NIC.

10.2 Implementation Schedule

75. Figure 3 presents the Implementation Schedule of the RP. The earliest that financial resources for resettlement aspects of the Project are expected to be available in January 2012; hence the earliest actual implementation of the RP may begin in late January 2012.



Figure 3: RP Implementation Schedule

| | | Sept 2011 | | | Oct 2011 | | | | | ov)11 | Dec 2011 | | | | Ja 20 | | Feb 2012 | | | | Ma 20 | | | |
|---|--|--------------|--|--|-------------|---|--|--|---|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|----------|---|-------------|--|--|--|----------|--|--|--|
| Activities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preparation of draft RP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Submission of RP to MOI/ADB for review/approval | | | | | | - | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Update of the RP and submission of revised RP to MOI/ADB for review/approval, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| addressing the comments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allocation of resettlement fund | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ı | - | | | | | | | | |
| Translation of RP into Tetum/Portuguese language | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Disclosure of approved RP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ı | | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution of Information Booklet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | |
| Preparation of Vouchers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delivery of Compensation to APs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dispute/objections (complaints & grievances) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Internal Monitoring and reporting to ADB | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



11. MONITORING AND EVALUATION

78. Implementation of the RP will be monitored both internally and externally to provide feedback to PMU/MOI and to assess the effectiveness. Evaluation of the resettlement activities will be resorted after implementation of the RP to assess whether the resettlement objectives were appropriate and whether they were met, specifically, whether livelihoods and living standards have been restored or enhanced. The evaluation will also assess resettlement efficiency, effectiveness, impact and sustainability, drawing lessons as a guide to future resettlement planning.

11.1. Internal Monitoring

79. Internal monitoring of all resettlement and consultation tasks and reporting to ADB will be conducted by the Environmental and Social Unit (ESU) established in the PMU, assisted by the ESU national and international social safeguard specialists. Internal monitoring will include reporting on progress in the activities envisaged in the implementation schedule with particular focus on public consultations, land purchase (if required), determination of compensation, record of grievances and status of complaints, financial disbursements, and level of satisfaction among APs. Potential indicators for internal monitoring are briefed in the following Table 11.1.

Table 11.1: Internal Monitoring

| Monitoring Issues | Monitoring Indicators |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Budget and | Have all safeguard staff under ESU been appointed and mobilized |
| Timeframe | for field and office work |
| | Have capacity building and training activities been completed |
| | Are resettlement implementation activities being achieved against agreed implementation plan? |
| | Are funds for resettlement being allocated on time? |
| | Have PMU received the scheduled funds? |
| | Have funds been disbursed according to RP? |
| Delivery of AP Entitlements | Have all APs received entitlements according to numbers and categories of loss set out in the entitlement matrix? |
| | How many affected households relocated and built their new structure at new location? |
| | Are income and livelihood restoration activities being implemented as planned? |
| | Have affected businesses received entitlements? |
| Consultation, Grievances and | Have resettlement information brochures/leaflets been prepared and distributed? |
| Special Issues | Have consultations taken place as scheduled including meetings, groups, community activities? |
| | Have any APs used the grievance redress procedures? What were the outcomes? |
| | Have conflicts been resolved? |
| Benefit Monitoring | What changes have occurred in patterns of occupation compared to the pre-project situation? |
| | What changes have occurred in income and expenditure patterns compared to pre-project situation? |
| | Have APs income kept pace with these changes? |
| | What changes have occurred for vulnerable groups? |



11.2 External Monitoring

80. Since this is not a category A project, external monitoring is not essential under this subproject. Provision of external independent monitoring therefore is not included in the institutional setup of RP implementation.

11.3 Reporting

81. Resettlement monitoring reports will be sent to ADB along with regular progress reports on semi-annually. The monitoring reports will be posted on ADB website, and relevant information from these reports will be disclosed in the project areas in local languages.



ANNEXES

| | Page No |
|---|---------|
| Annex 1 : Census and Socioeconomic Survey Questionnaire | 1 |
| Annex 2: List of APs and Magnitude of Impact | 12 |
| Annex 3: Photographs of Consultation Meetings | 13 |
| Annex 4: Minutes of Meetings & Participants List | 14 |
| Annex 5 : Public Information Booklet | 42 |
| Annex 6: Letter of DLPCS | 47 |
| Annex 7: Reply of ADB Comments | 48 |



Annex 1

Ministry of Infrastructure, Timor-Leste Road Network Development Sector Project (ADB Grant 0180-TIM)

CENSUS AND SOCIOECONOMIC SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

| Quest | tionnaire | e No: Date: | |
|-------|--------------|--|------|
| Name | of the F | Road: | |
| Name | of Inves | stigator: | |
| Name | of Supe | ervisor: | |
| 1. | GENE | ERAL IDENTIFICATION | |
| | <u>Prope</u> | erty Details | Code |
| | 1.1 | Road Side: 1. Left 2. Right | |
| | 1.2 | Chainage Km | |
| | 1.3 | i. District: ii. Sub-District: | |
| | | iii. Suco: iv. Hamlet: | |
| | 1.4 | Location: 1. Rural 2. Semi-Urban 3. Rural | |
| | | 1. Nurai 2. Semi-Orban 3. Nurai | |
| 2. | HOUS | SEHOLD IDENTIFICATION | |
| | 2.1 | Name of the Household Head : | |
| | 2.2 | National ID card Number | |
| | 2.3 | Address of the Household identified: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 3. | AFFE | CTED PROPERTY | |
| | <u>Land</u> | under Impact (to be obtained/purchases) | |
| | 3.1 | Ownership of the Affected Land: | |
| | | 1. Private 2. Government 3. Religious 4. Community | |
| | | 5. Other () | |
| | 3.2 | Category of Land: | |
| | | Cultivated 2. Non-Cultivated | |
| | 3.3 | Type of Land: | |
| | | | |



| | | 1. Irrigated | 2. Noi | n-Irrigate | d 3. Barre | n Fa | low 5. For | est | |
|-----|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | 3.4 | Use of Land | : | | | | | | |
| | | 1. Cultivation | 2. Ord | hard | 3. Resident | ial 4. (| Commercial | | |
| | | 5. Forestatio | n 6. Oth | ers | 7. No Use | | | | |
| | 2.5 | Total Area of | f Land (in | Hectare |): | | | | |
| | 2.6 | Area of Affec | cted Land | (in Hect | are): | | | | |
| | 2.7 | Rate of Land | l (Per Hec | tare in U | JSD): | | | | |
| | | 1. AP's Estin | nation | | 2. lı | nvestiga | ator's Estimatio | n | |
| 4. | AFFE | CTED LANDO | WNER(S) |) | | | | | |
| Nam | ne(s) of L | andowner(s) | Sex | | /Husband/ | Age | Schooling | 1 st | 2 nd |
| | | | | Wife | s Name | | | Occupation | Occupation |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | nd under acquis fe, brothers, sis | | it be lega | ally owned by | more th | an one person | in the same hou | sehold (e.g., |
| | | | | ars, Sch | ooling : Total | numbe | r of years sper | it in school/Degr | ee/Diploma |
| | 4.1 | Total Land y | ou posses | s (in Hec | tare): | | | | |
| | | 1. Irrigated: . | | | Non-Irriç | gated: | | | |
| | 4.2 | Any of the fo | llowing pe | ersons as | ssociated with | the affe | ected land: | | |
| | | i. Agrid | cultural La | abour | 1. Yes | 2. I | No | | |
| | | ii. Sub- | Tenant | | 1. Yes | 2. l | No | | |
| | | iii. Shar | re-Croppe | r | 1. Yes | 2. l | No | \Box | |
| | 4.3 | If yes, name | of the Ag | ricultural | Labour/Sub- | Γenant/\$ | Share-Cropper | s | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | A F F F | | | | (DDODEDTY | | | | |
| 5. | | CTED HOUSE | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 5.2 | Multi-Housel | nold Struc | ture | 1. Yes 2. N | 10 | | | |



| | 5.3 | Number of | of Households in t | he Structure: | | | |
|----|------------|------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 5.4 | Ownershi | ip of the Affected | Houses/Structures | : | | |
| | | 1. Legal | I 2. Non-Leç | gal (Squatter/Encro | pacher) | | |
| | | If lega | al owner, do you l | nave Legal Docum | ent of Property | | |
| | | | | 1. Yes | 2.No. | | |
| | | 2. Govt. | Agency (Name | |) | | |
| | | 3. Comr | munity Organization | on (Name | |) | |
| | | | | tube-well, sanitary | | eles, etc.) | |
| | 5.5 | Type of A | Affected Structure: | | | | |
| | A. | Residenti | 4. | | oundary wall 3. F ube/Dug well | | |
| | B. | Commerc | cial Structure: | (| | , | |
| | | 1. Shop | 2. Hotel 3. V | endor 4. Farm | House 5. Petro | ol Pump | |
| | | 6. Private | Clinic 7. Indust | ry 8. Others (|) | | |
| | C. | Residenti | al-cum Commerc | ial Structure: Ye | s No | | |
| | D. | Commun | ity Structure: 1. | Community Centr | e Club 2. Tru | st | |
| | | | ; | 3. Memorials 4.Ot | hers (|) | |
| | E. | • | | 1. Church 2. Te | emple 3. Mos | sque | |
| | | 4. Shrine | | 6. Cemetery | • | 8. Crematorium | |
| | | 9. Others | (| | |) | |
| 6. | MEAS | UREMENT | OF THE AFFEC | TED STRUCTURE | / PROPERTY | | |
| | 6.1 | Distance | from the centre of | f the carriageway (| in Meters) : | | |
| | 6.2 | Type of S | Structure: | | | | |
| | | 1. Tempo | orary 2. Perman | ent 3. Semi-Perr | manent | | |
| | | 4. Thatch | ed 5. Wooder | 6. Others | | | |
| | 6.4 | Type of E | Effect: 1. | Partly 2. Fu | ılly | | |
| | 6.5 6.3 | | | (Years / Months): perties : (measurer | | | |
| | | ription of | Length | Width | Height | Total Area | Affected Area |
| | ı Stri | ucture | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m²) | (m²) |



| | 6.6 1 AP | | l Market Value o | | | ure (in USD) or's Estimation: | | | |
|----------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| 7 | | | TAILS OF TEN | | congate | n 3 Estimation | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 7.2 | | he Tenant: of Possession | | | | | | |
| | SI No. | | Category | Peri | od of St | ay (months/years) |) | Advanc | e paid (USD) |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 | Family Ty 1. Joint | pe 2. Nuclea | ar 3. Ext | ended | Other | | | |
| | 7.4 | Religious | Group: 1. Chris | tian 2. B | uddhist | 3. Muslim 4. | Hindu | 5. Other | |
| | 7.5 | Number o | f Family membe | ers 1. Ma | le | 2. Fem | ale | | |
| | 7.6 | Annual Inc | come of the Far | nilv | USI |) | | | |
| | 7.7 | | f Employees | | | | | | |
| | | Name 1. | | | (Salar | y USD) 2 | | | (SalaryS\$) |
| | 7.0 | | | | (salar | yS\$) 4 | | | .(SalaryS\$) |
| SI. | 7.8 | Details of | Family Member | | ٨٥٥ | Marital Status | | ducation | Occupation |
| No. | Name | of the Fam | ily Member | <u>Sex</u> 1.Male 2.Female | Age (year) | Marital Status 1.Married 2.Unmarried 3.Widow 4.Widower 5. Other | 1. Illite 2.Up t Secor ent 3. Up | ducation erate o Senior dary/Equivall to Graduate ove Graduate | Occupation 1. Service 2. Business 3. Agriculture 4. Study 5. Housewife 6. Labour 7. Professional 8. Unemployed |
| 1. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. 5. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | | | | | | | | | |
| | hvsically | handicap | ped | | | | | | |
| | bers, if ar | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | , | • | | | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | | | | | |



3.

SOCIOECONOMIC PROFILE OF THE AFFECTED HOUSEHOLDS

| Name Name | of the Ro | No: pad : igator : visor : | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | GENER | AL IDENTIFICATION | | | | Code | | | | | | |
| | 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 | Road Side : 1. Left 2. Right Chainage Km | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | HOUSI | EHOLD IDENTIFICATION | I | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Name of the Household | Head : | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 | National ID Card Number | r | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.3 | Address of the Househo | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.3 | Family Type : | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4 | 1. Joint 2. Nuclear 3. Extended 4. Other | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.5 | Number of Family Memb | ers: 1. Male | . | 2. Fema | ale | | | | | | |
| | 2.6 | Details of Family Member | | | | | | | | | | |
| SI. No. | | of the Family Member | Sex 1.Male 2.Female | Age (year) | Marital Status 1.Married 2.Unmarried 3.Widow 4.Widower 5. Other | Education 1. Illiterate 2. Elementary School 3. Junior High School 4. Senior High School 3. Graduate/Equivale nt 4. Above Graduate | Occupation 1. Service 2. Business 3. Agriculture 4. Study 5. Housewife 6. Labour 7. Professional 8. Unemployed | | | | | |
| 1. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. 4. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. 10. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | hysically | handicapped | | | | | | | | | | |



| mem 1. | bers, if | any | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------|--|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------|----------------------------|
| 2. 3. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | lote : Inser | t additional co | olumn if family | member | rs exceed | more th | nan 10 | <u> </u> | | | l | |
| 3. | HOU | SEHOLD | ASSETS | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | nership of the | | |
| Land | d Type | ype Total Area Presently Current How owned Lands Bought & Soled in last Two Years (Hectare) Used by Market (Inherited=1 (in Hectare) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Owr (Yes=1, | | Price | (USD) | Purch | ased=2 | Bough | t Sole | d | Price /Hectare (USD) |
| | estead | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agrice | ultural | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| | nercial | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fallov | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | S | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2 | | / Structures | | | | | | | | | |
| SI. | Pres | ent Use | # of Story | | of | | Floor | В | Building Ma | | P | Approximate |
| No. | | | | | oms | Area | (sqm) | | (Code | e) | cor | present estruction cost |
| | | | | (all I | floors) | | | | | | 00. | (USD) |
| | | | | | | | | Floor | Wall | Roof | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ; Cemented | | | emented | d) = 3; V | /ooden = 4 | i; Bamboo | thatch = 5; | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | lastered Brick = : | | "-Plastered Brick) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | t with Wood/Ba Others = 9 (| | | | | | | nforced Cement) | Concre | ete (RCC) = 3; |
| | 3.3 | Livesto | ck (Use Wor | kshee | t) | | | | | | | |
| | | 1. | Cattle: | Α | pproxir | nate T | otal Cur | rent Valu | ue (USD): | | | |
| | | 2. | Poultry: | Α | pproxir | nate T | otal Cur | rent Valu | ue (USD): | | | |
| | 3.4 T | rees (<i>Use</i> | Worksheet) | Α | pproxir | nate T | otal Cur | rent Valu | ue (USD): | | | |
| | 3.4 | Durable | Consumer | Items/ | Other A | Assets/ | 'Ameniti | es (<i>U</i> se | Workshee | e <i>t</i>): | | |
| | | Approxi | mate Currei | nt Tota | ıl Value | (USD |): | | | | | |
| | 3.5 | Electrici | ity: Use Ele | ctricity | ?: Yes | s = 1 | No = | 2 | | | | |
| | | Authoriz | zed Connec | ion?: | Yes = | 1, No | = 2 | | | | | |



Worksheet for Valuation of Cattle & Poultry

| CATTLE | # of Heads | Approx Total Value (USD) | POULTRY | # of Birds | Approx Total Value (USD) |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Bullock | | | Chicken | | |
| Cow | | | Duck | | |
| Goat | | | Pigeon | | |
| Sheep | | | | | |
| Buffalo | | | | | |
| Horse | | | | | |
| Camel | | | | | |
| Ass | | | | | |
| Others (Mention) | | | 9 = Others (Mention) | | |

Worksheet for Valuation of Trees (Local names of the trees to be included)

| Major <u>Timber</u> Trees | | Major <u>Fruit</u> Trees | | Other Trees | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|---|--------------------------|
| Name | # | Approx Value (USD) | Name | # | Approx Value (USD) | Name | # | Approx Value (USD) |
| Teak | | | | | | Fuel Wood | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Etc. | | | Etc. | | | Etc. | | |

Worksheet for Valuation Durable Consumer Items and Other Assets & Amenities

| Items | # | Approx Total Value (USD) |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Television | | |
| Radio | | |
| Music System | | |
| Refrigeration | | |
| Washing Machine | | |
| Air Conditioner | | |
| Oven | | |
| L.P.G. connection | | |
| Motor Bike | | |
| Bicycle | | |
| Car | | |
| Bus/Microlet | | |
| Furniture | | |
| Tube-well | | |
| Sanitary Latrine | | |
| Others (name) | | |



4. AGRICULTURE: OPERATION & PRODUCTION

| 4.1 | Landuse |
|-----|---------|
| | |

| Cultivable | Non-Cultivable | Total Land Area (Hectare) | |
|------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |

4.2 Cropping Pattern

| SI. No. | Type of Crops | Total Cultivated Land (Hectare) | Total Yield |
|---------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| I | | | |
| ii | | | |
| lii | Summer Crop | | |
| Total | | | |

5. ANNUAL INCOME

| SI. No. | Source | Income (USD) |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1. | Agriculture | |
| 2. | Service | |
| 3. | Business | |
| 4. | Labour | |
| 5. | Professional | |
| 6. | Others | |
| Grand Total | | |

6. INDEBTEDNESS

(Please indicate, your borrowings during last one year)

| Sl. No. | Source | Amount taken | Amount returned | Balance |
|---------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| | | (in USD) | (in USD) | |
| 1. | Bank (specify which bank) | | | |
| 2. | Cooperatives | | | |
| | NGO | | | |
| 3. | Private money lender | | | |
| | Relatives | | | |
| 4. | Others (mention) | | | |
| Total | | | | |

| 7. | HOUSEHOLD SAVINGS | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 7.1 | Does the household as a whole have any savings? 1. Yes 2. No | | | |
| | | If yes, total amount of savings: USD | | | |
| 7.2 The money is kept in (Use applicable codes below): | | | | | |
| | | At home=1; With relatives=2; With friends=3; Bank/Cooperatives=4; NGOs=5; Others=6 (Mention:) | | | |
| 8. | OVERALL ECONOMIC STATUS | | | | |
| | 8.1 | According to the respondent, which of the following best describes the household's overall economic status with the present income and expenditure needs? | | | |
| | 1 = Surplus 2 = Breaks even 3 = Occasionally deficit 4 = Always deficit | | | | |



9. CONSUMPTION PATTERN

(Please indicate the consumption/expenditure on different items on last one year)

| Sl. No. | Particulars / Source | Expenditu | ıre (USD) |
|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | Monthly | Annual |
| Α | Food | | |
| | 1. Cereal | | |
| | 2. Pulses | | |
| | 3. Milk | | |
| | 4. Oil | | |
| | 5. Vegetable | | |
| | 6. Fruits | | |
| | 7. Meat/Fish | | |
| | 8. Eggs | | |
| | 9. Sugar | | |
| Sub Tota | al (A) | | |
| В | Cooking fuel/gas/wood | | |
| С | Clothing | | |
| D | Health | | |
| Е | Education | | |
| F | Communication | | |
| G | Social Function | | |
| Н | Agriculture (such as seeds, | | |
| | hiring of farm implements etc.) | | |
| I | Others (specify) | | |
| Grand T | otal (A+I) | | |

| 10. | COVE | COVERAGE UNDER GOVERNMENT/DONORS DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES | | | | | |
|-----|------|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10.1 | Have you availed any benefit under any govt. Scheme | e? 1. Yes 2. No | | | | |
| | | If Yes, please give us the following details: | | | | | |
| | | Name of the Scheme | Kind of Help | | | | |

| | CEC (Community Empowerment Component) | |
|-----|--|-----------------------------------|
| | JFPR (Japan Fund for Poverty Reduction) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | If "1", please indicate the amount | USD |
| | If "2", please indicate the type of training | J |
| 10. | 2 After availing this scheme did your annu | ual income increase? 1. Yes 2. No |
| | If "Yes", how much? USD | |
| | If "N0", why? | |
| | | |



Loan, 2. Training, 3. Employment

| HEAL | TH STATU | S | |
|------|---|---|--|
| 11.1 | Was any | member of your family affected by any illn | ess in last one year? |
| | 4 | Vac 2 No | |
| | 1. | . res 2.No | |
| 11 2 | If "Yes" n | lease indicate the details | |
| | | | Treatment taken |
| | | | 1. Allopathic |
| | | | 2. Homeopathic |
| | | | 3. Traditional |
| | | | 4. No treatment |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| MIGR | ATION | | |
| 12.1 | Do you m | igrate for work? 1. Yes 2. | No . |
| 4.6 | | | |
| 12.2 | If "Yes" fo | or how many days/months in a year : | |
| 12.3 | Where do | you migrate? | |
| | 1. Within | the District 2. Outside the District 3. | Outside the State |
| 12.4 | 2. Non Ag | gricultural Labour 3. Trade & Business | |
| 12.5 | How muc | h do you earn: USD | |
| 12.6 | 3. Every | alternative year 4. Once in every three ye | ice in a year ears |
| 12.7 | At what tii | me of the year do you migrate (season)? : | |
| WOMI | | | |
| 13.1 | Please giv | ve the following details | |
| | SI. No | Economic / Non-economic Activities | Engagement in Activities 1. Yes 2. No |
| | 1. | Cultivation | |
| | 2. | Allied Activities* | |
| | 3. | Sale of forest products | |
| | 4. | Trade & Business | |
| | 5. | Agricultural Labour | |
| | 6. | Non Agricultural Labour | |
| | 7. | Household Industries | |
| | | | |
| | 8. | Service | |
| | | | |
| | 11.1 11.2 No. of MIGR. 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 | 11.1 Was any 1 1.2 If "Yes", p No. of Cases MIGRATION 12.1 Do you m 12.2 If "Yes" for 12.3 Where do 1. Within 12.4 What kind 2. Non Ag 4. Others 12.5 How muc 12.6 Trend of I 3. Every 5. No reg 12.7 At what tim WOMEN STATUS 13.1 Please gir SI. No 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | 1. Yes 2.No 11.2 If "Yes", please indicate the details No. of Cases Type of Diseases/Illness MIGRATION 12.1 Do you migrate for work? 1. Yes 2. 12.2 If "Yes" for how many days/months in a year : |

*Dairy, Poultry, Piggery, Sheep rearing etc

If engaged in economic activities, total income of the year: USD.....



| | 13.2 | Do you | ır women mem | ber have any | say in decisio | n making of h | ousehold matters? | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | | | 1. Yes | 2. No | | | | |
| | 13.3 | If "Yes' | ', give the follo | wing details: | | | | |
| | | SI. No | | ues | 1 | Yes | 2 No | ı |
| | | 1. | Financial mat | | | | | ı |
| | | 2. | Education of | child | | | | ı |
| | | 3. | Health care of | of child | | | | ı |
| | | 4. | Purchase of a | assets | | | | İ |
| | | 5. | Day to day a | ctivities | | | | ı |
| | | 6. | On social fun | ctions and | | | | İ |
| | | | marriage | | | | | İ |
| | | 7. | Others (ment | ion) | | | | • |
| - | able to th | | of Affected Ho eholds whose | | ould be affec | ted partially ar | nd fully, and will have t | o relocate |
| If the | ne house | hold is | aware of displa | acement from | the present h | omestead, its _l | plan/thinking about rele | ocation: |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | moving the houses? 1 | =Yes; 2=No |
| • Do | es the ho | ousehol | d <u>hav</u> e lands ir | n the locality w | hich are suita | ble for relocat | ion? | |
| • 1=` | Yes; 2= | No | | | | | | |
| | es the ho Yes 2=No | | d have lands ir | n the locality th | nat can be dev | eloped into ho | ome-lot for relocation? | |
| | | | find land for n | urchase at a lo | ecation it woul | d liko to rolog | nto? | |
| | Yes; 2= | | | uicilase at a it | ocation it woul | d like to reloca | ale: | |
| Are | | ublic lar | | | ed by any dep | artment of the | Govt. of Timor-Leste) | in the |
| V101 | • | | | | 1 - | | | |
| • | | | | om the project: | кп | 1 | | |
| • | | | amount: | | | | | |
| • | Physi | cal des | cription, owner | ship and curre | ent use of the | lands: | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | w many o respond | | | t would need p | ohysical reloc | ation elsewhei | re, are in any way relat | ed/known to |
| | umber of | | =From the san | ne clan | | 3=Considere | ed close friends: | |
| ho | ousehold | 's: 2 | =Related (outs | side the clan) | | 4=Known so | cially: | |
| | | I | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Name o | of Investi | gator: | | | | Signature: Date: | | |



Annex 2

LIST OF AFFECTED HOUSEHOLDS AND MAGNITUDE OF IMPACT DILI-TIBAR-LIQUICA ROAD

| Sl No | Name of Household & Address | Sex & Age | National ID No. | Father's Name | Type of Structure | Chainage (Km) | Quantity |
|----------|--|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | MANUEL FATIMA DO REGO Libolelo,Tibar,Bazartete,liquica | M (52) | 0362829 | Luis Fatima Lopez Da Cruz | Semi permanent | 7.2 | 10.50m ² |
| 2 | ADELINO CORREIA Essirat, Ulmera, Bazartete, liquica | M (45) | 0339230 | Francisco Xavier | Semi permanent | 12.4 | 3.36m ² |
| 3 | ANTONIO B. DE JESUS Nauner,Ulmera,Bazartete,liquica | M (39) | 0339012 | Berecoli | Semi permanent | 14 | |
| 4 | MARIA DOS SANTOS Bisu leten,Lauhata,Bazartete,liquica | F (25) | 03612424 | Afonso Dos Santos | Temporary | 24.7 | |
| 5 | FLORIANO BARRETO Bisu kraik,Lauhata,Bazartete,liquica | M (47) | 0366768 | Dominggos Barreto | Temporary | 24.71 | |
| 6 | PAULINO BARRETO Bisu leten,Tibar,Bazartete,liquica | M (66) | 0366692 | Meta Bessi | Temporary | 24.8 | |
| 7 | PAULINA DOS SANTOS Bisu kraik,Lauhata,Bazartete,liquica | F (44) | 0367358 | Valentino Dos Santos | Temporary | 24.81 | |
| 8 | FRANCISCO Bisu leten,Lauhata,Bazartete,liquica | M (46) | 0154173 | Lakusai | Temporary | 24.82 | |
| 9 | IMACULADA DA CONCEICAO Bisu kraik,Lauhata,Bazartete,liquica | F (41) | 0366793 | Francisco P. Da Conceicao | Temporary | 24.85 | |
| 10 | JACINTA DOS SANTOS Nartutu, Maumeta,Bazartete,liquica | F (64) | 0361147 | Agustinho Pereira | Temporary | 26.5 | |
| 11 | ADELINO PINTO Kalosa, Dato, Bazartete, liquica | M (37) | 0354359 | Saturlino Quintao | Temporary | 27.9 | |
| 12 | DAVID DOS SANTOS AMARAL Leupa,Dato,Bazartete,liquica | M (27) | 0752355 | Roque Gonzaga | Big tree | 28.1 | 1 |



Photographs of Consultation Meetings in the Dili-Liquica Subproject Area



Consultation at Suco Comoro in Dili District on 7 September 2011



Consultation at Suco Tibar in Liquica District on 8 September 2011



Consultation at Suco Ulmera in Liquica District on 8 September 2011



Consultation at Suco Mota Ulun in Liquica District on 9 September 2011



Consultation at Suco Lauhata in Liquica District on 9 September 2011



Consultation at Suco Maumeta in Liquica District on 10 September 2011



Annex 4

Minutes of the Consultation Meeting Venue: Suco Comoro, District: Dili Date: 07 September 2011

| | Project Implementation and Support Consultants Minutes of Consultation Meeting |
|----|--|
| | Date: 02/09/2011 Time: 10.00 - 12.00 |
| | Road Name: Dili to Tilour road. |
| | Venue: Hamlet: Terro Lanta Suco: Comoro |
| | Sub-district: Donallito District: 1226 |
| | Name of Chairman of the Meeting: Lots M. S. Moth |
| | Ministry/Departmental Personnel : Mr. Luis |
| | PISC Consultant (SMEC/KWK): Mr. Monzoret Hog. |
| | Participants Nos: (attach list) |
| | Agenda/Issues Discussed Notifying the communities about the ferettlement plan/ land agristion plan- |
| | Opinion and Suggestions |
| 7. | from the 816 priested Administrator. - Encouraging the people to cooperate with this project can promote stime so that the project can from sumstilly and achieving the goal. of to make the Better Road for the people. |
| 2 | The Cemon suco chief. |
| | profest about the letter/invitation that smee/ ADE sound |
| | of in we letter to the succession Directly ". |
| 3. | Signature of Chairman Date: 67/09/2011 |
| ٥. | |
| | 1 |
| | |



List of Participants Meeting in Suco Comoro 07 September 2011

| icipan | 07/69/201 hts (Meeting Date: 19-50 12-60 Tim | e: /0.70 - /2.75 Venue | e: Suo Comoro. |
|-----------|---|---|----------------|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature |
| 1 | GLILLEDINE SLAUTE | FAKEN-STONIN | Albiograw |
| 2 | OFLESTINO AND | TERRA-SONT | · THE |
| 3 | ADUNO M. | TERRE-SOUTH | 419 |
| 4 | Ketut Bakerti | Terra - Sonta | Ale- |
| 5 | NATALIA | gerra_saicta | - Igi |
| 6 | Joana De Mayer I | Tenes senta | John |
| 7 | Anifo Mala | Terra - Santa | Accen |
| 8 | Cafarina Barros | Terra - Santa | JOSEF . |
| 9 | love to - leashes | Fedde - Senla | dil. |
| 10 | Rosa Gomes | | RAND |
| 11 | | -1- | Luck |
| 12 | JOHNINA MENDONCH Francisco Baptista | -/- | Fref |
| 13 | Januario Martins | - 11- | Alvi |
| 14 | Donninggos Nasraganto | -11- | Po |
| | | | |



| | nts (Meeting Date : 07/09/11 Tim | e: /0-10 - /2-10 Venu | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---|-----------|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature |
| 1 | Emilia M. Soares | TERA SANTA | Etakan |
| 2 | Marolina Da costa sacres | Tasi Tola | White Z |
| 3 | Anita soares | tosi tolu | Air |
| 4 | Colestino de Araijo | Tas. Tolu | 34 |
| 5 | Statio R. | -11- | Loh |
| 6 | Pedro Dos Cutos Soon | | 100 |
| 7 | Cabriel 20ams | 12 de etobra | A-2 |
| 8 | FREANCISCO DA COSA | -11- | 200 |
| 9 | Luis Tua Mentry | | -60 |
| 10 | | In de outubro - | Pours |
| 11 | Jose Interio Carvalho Martins | 12 de Oubilmo | Alyagar |
| 12 | | 10 1. 1.1 | (del) |
| 13 | TRANCISCO DA COSTA MAPTINS CASA | TEPA SANTA | Caron |
| 14 | | THE PERSON NO. | morel |



| Partic | ipants List cont. | | |
|-----------|--------------------|---|-----------|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature |
| 15 | Alda da silva | beyon - smut on | Ald |
| 16 | Euglino De soura q | 12 De outobro | 849. |
| 17 - | Atomso Gonsalves | 12 le outobro | went |
| 18. | Benzamen Maia | 12. De OUtabro | Al. |
| 19. | Jose Da Costa | 12 de outobro | THE |
| 20. | Amada da Costa | forra Santa | Sound |
| 21 | Doningos Consolver | - n - | 1 Com |
| 0.075 | Ticomono t Avais | 100 | >11 |
| 22 | ANTUENZ OR COSTA | -a-5. | flut |
| | Luisa dos reis P | 12 de outabro | Jum |
| | Lices ar s. hota | sed & Alixo | L |
| 26 6 | Somingor Pexerine | drege Alder | And I |
| 27 0 | Sipino factes | Con San to | 94 |
| 28- | pericus | Stude | /_ |



Participants List cont.

Annex 4 cont.

Road Network Development Sector Project (ADB Grant No. 0180-TIM) Project Implementation and Support Consultants Participants List cont. SI Name Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) PLA SAMENTO COMOMO P.A. SAMENTO P.A.

3



Minutes of the Consultation Meeting Venue: Suco Tibar, District: Liquica Date: 08 September 2011

| Minutes of Consultation Meeting |
|--|
| Date: 08/09/2011 Time: 10.00 - 12.00 |
| Road Name: Tiber - Liquita Road |
| Venue : Hamlet: Suco: Tibar |
| Sub-district: Bazartete District: Liquien |
| Name of Chairman of the Meeting: Bento Correin de Concerção (Suco elis Ministry/Departmental Personnel: Lis Bent Parti: (Ans Representative) PISC Consultant (SMEC/KWK): Monzorel Hoz (International Grecialis |
| Participants Nos : (attach list) |
| Agenda/Issues Discussed Public Consultations of the Ressettlement plan on The Tiber - linquies Road |
| Opinion and Suggestions |
| # St. District Administrator; _ Incoverying the participants about the Benefits of the food that will be Develop By the ADB/Government as to to encourage the people to Cooperate with the sorroy team so that the Data that will be colle are the Correct one. |
| Suco Chief of Tiber; - also concoveraging Signature of Chairman Date: 08/03/2011 |
| |



List of Participants Meeting in Suco Tibar 08 September 2011

| | its (Meeting Date : 03/09/2011 Time | e: 10-40-7240 Venue | e: Tree received |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature |
| 1 | Balbina falina do Rego | tilmr | |
| 2 | Meria Menix Sources | Tibar | |
| 3 | Dominges source | Mar. | |
| 4 | Esmeralda Ribein | Tilore | Alexing. |
| 5 | Alda Sonies | Tibar - | Abo |
| 6 | JACINTA BARRETO | Dili | Hemi |
| 7 | Ce cilia da Cotoregus | Tilian | cu |
| 8 | Julio Gomes | TiPoar | just o. |
| 9 | Jacob Soares | Tibar | Jalegred |
| 10 | Apolinario S-AMARA | -11 | fue. |
| 11 | Marcelino 7 Lun | TIMAR | Marton |
| 12 | placido perira | | Auf B |
| 13 | Alea Tilman | Dili CJAGNED) | Dus |
| 14 | Micolau. F. L. dacas | 2 776ar | Philips |



| SI | rticipants List cont. | Profession/Occupation | Signature |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| No. | Nume | & Address, Cell No (if any) | |
| 15 | izabel lai | Tipar | Jane- |
| 16 | Seration Hornai D.C | TiBar | Sinf |
| 17 | ETELVINO MAUSAKU | TIPSAR | Efinit . |
| 18. | RUI CONSALVES | TIBAR | River |
| 19 | MARCOS DA SILVA | TIBAR | antif |
| 20 | Daniel | TIBAR | Fire |
| 21 | Florentino de Bru | Jan. | 11 |
| 22 | | 11 | 11 |
| 23 | Corpolio | 4 | 17 |
| 29 | +Zanin/ | 11 | 17 |
| 25 | Hateus by Sitva | -11- | Moro |
| 26 | vicatos de Jerus | -u_ | - u |
| 29 | N N | -100 | 4 |
| 28 | TOBIAS LODO | -11- | = |



| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation | Signature |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| 100000 | h | & Address, Cell No (if any) | 7:00 |
| 29 | Malips Futeres | TIBAR | Allune 7 |
| 30 | Alpedo de geseis | -le- | / Har I |
| 31 | AlbENTO APANIEIO | TIBAR | AAC |
| 32 | DOMINGOS | -12- | 100 a |
| 33 | DOMINBOS | -> | Douge |
| 34 | Jeanico Tilman | 77848 | mi |
| 35 | Praciaus Loe | -TIPS100 | G. |
| 36 | Raimundo da liha | oppor | Ros. |
| 37 | Fernando lemos | | R. |
| 38 | CASMEIAD DE JAR. | | - Sif. |
| 39. | Fernando Lares. | - 11- | " And " |
| 30 | INTACYLADA DUARTE | _11- | Interior - |
| 31 | MARIA DA CURUS | _11- | 1 |
| 32 | Ana Rosa Pereira | - 11- | AND |
| | | | |



| SI No. | rticipants List cont. Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, | Signature |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| 33 | MARCOLINO HAPPLETOS | Cell No (if any) | - Thuo. |
| 34 | MARCOLINO HAPPLETOS | -11- | April |
| 35 | Leonardo tatima p | -11- | Acom |
| 36 | Lauring persion | | na |
| 37 | Roberto dos Asaigo | -11- | try |
| 38 | Mariano for Calypus | | Jones . |
| 39 | | | mf. |
| 40 | Martino da Silva | < 11 | sprip |
| | | | 9 . |
| | | | |
| | | | * |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



Minutes of the Consultation Meeting Venue: Suco Ulmera, District: Liquica Date: 08 September 2011

| | Project Implementation and Support Consultants Minutes of Consultation Meeting |
|---|---|
| | Date: 08/09/2011 Time: 05,00 - 05.00 |
| | Road Name: Films - Liguisa. |
| | Venue: Hamlet: Suco: Ulmarn |
| | Sub-district Passafete District Ciquica. |
| | Name of Chairman of the Meeting: |
| | Ministry/Departmental Personnel: |
| | PISC Consultant (SMEC/KWK): Mr. Menzeral Hag . |
| | Participants Nos: (attach list) |
| | Public Ronsolfation about the Resettlement plan along the Those Liques Read. |
| | Opinion and Suggestions |
| Ŀ | Questions : |
| | (Shr. manuel (Qundut). |
| | " casking about the law of land acquisition that the not been approved by the Community, Government". with this onapproved law will the ADB and the government give companies in to the affected people. |
| | 2. (So. Dominges Pilveiro). Afrond the House or Structurer that will be close / wear Appai. I maker the to the New Decelop food. Signature of Chairman will these thorses got compensation Date: 08/09/2011 |



List of Participants Meeting in Suco Ulmera 08 September 2011

| icipar | nts (Meeting Date: 88/09/2011 Tim | e: 03,00-05,4 Venue | : Ulmen. |
|-----------|--|--|-----------|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature |
| 1 | Augusta P. d. Arrija | ASD. Basar At | .f. king |
| 2 | 0 | shop fires | Aug 2- |
| 3 | The same of the sa | comunidade | - Limited |
| 4 | Artur S. Bogvida | | Time |
| 5 | Abateus de jesus | | · Jan |
| 6 | Eugénio Markes | | |
| 7 | Abilio Alves | | |
| 8 | Zito Soriano de gesus | chose Aldeia | H.F. 1 |
| 9 | Jaão Alver | comunidade | 7-18-1 |
| 10 | Salvador Esperits Martins | No the State of th | en |
| 11 | | | 1 - |
| 12 | Antonio Baros | | A.No. |
| 13 | Antoninhe martins | Comunidade. | Tay In |
| 14 | Miguel Poteret | | +orn |



| Participants List cont. | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature | | | |
| 15 | Selections ALVES | Congunidad | 34 | | | |
| 16 | AA | Cooperation | an | | | |
| 1.7 | Jasinta Pereira | - H | 10- | | | |
| 18 | Domingus Dibiro | | The state of the s | | | |
| 19 | Evelinde xiquina | 2112 | EX. | | | |
| 20 | Manuel Sames Guntur | Youth Representation | Dug SA | | | |
| 21 | Vatoleão Barreto | -11- | 170 | | | |
| 22 | Armando Ribeiro | | Almer - | | | |
| 23 | Mateus de jesus | _ | Anin do | | | |
| 24 | Josefino DA Silver | -11- | James ? | | | |
| 25 | ted - | | Thos | | | |
| 26 | Mateus Slus | | f. | | | |
| | Tubias Soares | _0 | Taur | | | |
| 28 | Luciano Alva Ribeiro | | JAMA - | | | |



Participants List cont.

| ticipar | nts_(Meeting Date: Time | e: Venue | ĸ | , |
|---------|-------------------------|---|-----------|---|
| No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature | |
| 1 | Domingos DC 28845 | 0 00 | 9-9 | |
| 2 | Tomas Corea. | -ca | De | |
| 3 | Tacinta preira | | Fresh | |
| 4 | Agusta | | | |
| 5_ | Materis Sorres | PATE | Mg- | |
| 6 | Podro Ribeiro | | 6.1 | |
| 7 | Viciato Morting | ald Olds: | Whof? | |
| 8 | Lunion c. | Comonidade | Eurof. | - |
| 9 | Domingor A | -11 | 7-8- | |
| 10 | Routs Alves | _1- | B. in | |
| 11 | Marrey 1: 4: | DAAS | 2/woh | |
| 12 | ZITU Ximenes | Comoni Jade | - aux | |
| 13 | Vicerte Variety | Commidate | 1 | |
| 14 | Isaura Martins Soares | | Just | |



Participants List cont.

Annex 4 cont.

| Par | ticipants List cont. | | |
|-----------|----------------------|---|-----------|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature |
| 15 | LoZilda das S. | | KS |
| 16 | Lurder mantins | | Lles |
| 17 | Trebiza | | frs. |
| 10 | Facacios & Fetire | ~~ | Sho- |
| 19 | lovento franç 67 | -u | 4 |
| - | Ar manho Alles | -4 | cre |
| | Honacio Alves. | | StanfA |
| | clemen Lines de J. | | CITA. |
| | Micolau lobo. | -0- | Alwaller |
| 24 | ifines mar aues | | yu. |
| 25. | | | |
| 26. | | | |
| 27. | | | |
| | 39 | | 18. |



Minutes of the Consultation Meeting Venue: Suco Mota Ulun, District: Liquica Date: 08 September 2011

| - | |
|----------|--|
| | Road Network Development Sector Project (ADB Grant No. 0180-TIM) Project Implementation and Support Consultants |
| | Minutes of Consultation Meeting |
| | Date: 09/09/ 2011 Time: 10.00 12.00 |
| | Road Name: Than - Liques |
| | Venue: Hamlet: Sed sure M. ikm Suco: Mota Ulm |
| | Sub-district: Bazarfele District: Liquien |
| | Name of Chairman of the Meeting: Chefe neo mota ulun. |
| | Ministry/Departmental Personnel: |
| | PISC Consultant (SMEC/KWK): Mr. Monsurol Hog. |
| | Participants Nos: (attach list) |
| | Agenda / Issues Discussed |
| | · (Celesting to Costo). I'm really happy stood this project so I foot wait for the survey team. |
| Syeshi 7 | The is Best we wait for the survey Team to Conduct their works. So that we know which land structures are affects opinion and Suggestions |
| | * I think we are going to have problem with cost extinuiting thing and it is Better as for the Gov. to Determine the costs. Lands the costs. |
| | to Determine the Cotts. |
| | |
| | |
| | Signature of Chairman |
| | Date: 03/03/2011 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



List of Participants Meeting in Suco Tibar 08 September 2011

| Si No. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Name Name Name Name Dass da Correigh Taitas RANCIS CO- COFFRER MAUDRUSTA LA RIBBORIO CONDO CONDO CONDO CONTEIN LANCE PRAIRA Whim Correin | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) Lis Consollier Chulfe place SUMBSTA JUVENTUDE SUMSTA JUVENTUDE SUMSTA SUMSTA JUVENTUDE SUMSTA SUMSTA SUMSTA SUMSTA SUMSTA SUMSTA SUMSTA SUMSTA | Signature Mola - klung |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|
| 12 PAS | arculina Ribe skupta Das poris Azreal da Loueria Ugul Lorroia | Suasta Suasta | All I |



Participants List cont.

| Pa | articipants List cont. | | |
|-----------|------------------------|---|-----------|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature |
| 15 | -1 | | to |
| 16 | ETIONO | SUBSTA SUBSTA | All |
| 17 | BODOFO - SCARES | BUASTA | Ja/ |
| 18 | MARTA DE CONOSTO | Sugar ta | XAP |
| 19 | MARCUINDA - DASILLA | Susata | XIII |
| 20 | Ermina FIBERD | 305444 | terre |
| 21 | RITA JOHRES | SUASTA | WAI . |
| 22 | ERTELINDA-SOMES | Suneto | AH . |
| 23 | ERMON - DASILVA | SUASTA | 14 |
| 24 | ENGERINDA AROJU | BURSTA | XXI |
| 25 | ANTER ALVES | Sunsta | XX |
| 26 | BORTA SORRES MADERÍA | Sugar | A |
| 27 | UMBOUND - ON CRUE | Susta | ford |
| 2.8 | JOHNA DA CRUTE | Sugar | 1-4 |



Participants List cont

| | | ation and Support Consult | dina | 9 |
|-----|--|---|-----------|-----|
| | nts (Meeting Date: Time | 3000000 | ** | - 1 |
| No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature | |
| 1 | MATERIA SANTOS | SUBAR | 115 | |
| 2 | | | 150 | |
| 3 | 400 M | 308318 | 1 | |
| 4 | BP2DARWO PPREKA | 34844 | 1 | |
| 5 | FILMARIA MARIA SCHEPS | SLASTA | THO D | |
| 6 | FARANSICO DE ARIJU | SUBSTA | 7.1 | |
| 7 | FILUMINO SOMEPS | Bunsta | Sout | _ |
| 8 | Joanico corres | Suosta | 4 | |
| 9 | DOACIN DA CONCERO | Sunsta | | |
| 10 | LAURINDO gories | Supsta | | |
| 11 | 2000 ceres | Sugges | | |
| | Ariano Autusto | Desemblego | AR | |
| 12 | | Suasta | fur | |
| 13 | Albino Somres Felix Crytino fernandes | Swota | 楼 | |
| 14 | | | 9 | |



Minutes of the Consultation Meeting Venue: Suco Lau Hata, District: Liquica Date: 09 September 2011

| | Project Implementation and Support Consultants |
|-----------|--|
| | Minutes of Consultation Meeting |
| | ate: 09/09/2011 Time: 03.00 - 05.00 (Pm) |
| | oad Name: enue: Hamlet: Suco: Lav - hats |
| V | |
| | Sub-district: Bozarfele District: Liquie,a. |
| N | ame of Chairman of the Meeting: £milis Barreto. |
| | inistry/Departmental Personnel: |
| PI | SC Consultant (SMEC/KWK): Me. Monzuret Hog. |
| P | articipants Nos: (attach list) |
| A | genda / Issues Discussed |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 10000 | pinion and Suggestions |
| Quest. 1. | It is Best for the Covernment to compensate first and |
| | than the construction. |
| | Assert 1 to the A police of a police of a |
| | Animer: it is a policy of a Bonor, to compensate fit |
| ugest 1 | If will be clear if the survey team has askerdy acrive |
| | to measure. |
| 9 | we are happy for this Project . Signe] |
| 2.50 | 17 |
| | Clauston of Chalance |
| | Signature of Chairman Date: 09/09/2011 |



List of Participants Meeting in Suco Lau Hata 09 September 2011

| SI | (Meeting Date: 09/05/2011 Time | Profession/Occupation | Signature |
|-----|--|--------------------------------|-----------|
| No. | | & Address, Cell No (if any) | 0.00 |
| 1 | Certos A. das N. de devs | Swasta. | I frings |
| 2 | Yantiage | swasta | -11/2 |
| 3 | Basilio clos suntos concerción | , | Jues & |
| 4 | DOMINIOS SORES | Sunota | Here |
| 5 | Manuel Margutino | | |
| 6 | Thomas dos Santos | | |
| 7 | Atonso Soriano | | forigin |
| 8 | Inte Correia | | 1 2. |
| 9 | Nicolau dos santos | | |
| 10 | Paulino Barreto | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | Baltagar Parco | | |
| 13 | fose dos santos | | |
| 14 | Guelline de jesnes Bernadino dos ante | | |
| | Bernedino dos a. G. | Ser . | |



Participants List cont.

| Par | rticipants List cont. | | |
|-----------|------------------------|---|-----------|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature |
| 15 | Filourie Barrets | Cen 140 (ii ariy) | |
| 16 | floriagio Boure for | | |
| 17. | Bernadino Devreto | | |
| 18. | Hermonigildo de C. Sud | | |
| 19. | Ana flora dos Soutos | | |
| | Nicolau R. dos Santos | | |
| | Silvina de Jesus | | |
| | Aida Goncalvag | | |
| 23. | Inlieta das Suntos | | |
| 23. | felixiano da silva | | |
| 24. | Jacareas da Silva | | |
| 25. | Euselio dos Santo | | 2 |
| 26. | faustinles dos butos | | coeff |
| 27. | Luis Sruga | | 11 |



Participants List cont.

| Part | ticipants List cont. | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------|
| SI No. | Name | Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) | Signature |
| 33. | Janistinlo V. da Costa | | |
| 29 | Man-Busi | | |
| 30 | Henrique Correia | | |
| 31. | João Saku | | |
| 32 | João Sakue Armando R. dos Sut | R (| Ris. |
| | laurentinho da costa | | Sim hat |
| 34. | Albino correia | | Shop |
| 35. | for Braz | | Ond. |
| 36. | Agusti who Padrigues | | Aleman & |
| The second live | Marcas c das Santos | | Dails |
| 7/233 | A folinario xaveer. | | AR |
| | Domingos e dacasta | | Denly |
| | Aguilino Olevera | | A.J. |
| 10.7 | Emelia das santes | | |



Participants List cont. Road Network Development Sector Project (ADB Grant No. 0180-TIM) Project Implementation and Support Consultants Participants (Meeting Date: Time: SI No. Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) Name Signature 2 3 4 Professor 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 2



Minutes of the Consultation Meeting Venue: Suco Mau Meta, District: Liquica Date: 10 September 2011

| _ | |
|---|--|
| 1 | Road Network Development Sector Project (ADB Grant No. 0180-TIM) Project Implementation and Support Consultants |
| | Minutes of Consultation Meeting |
| | Date: 16/09/2011 Time: 10.00 _ 12.00 |
| | Road Name: Filter . Liquies . |
| | Venue: Hamlet: Suco: Mow meta |
| | Sub-district: Brazarfete District: Liquien. |
| | Name of Chairman of the Meeting: Soco Chief of Mau meta "Vitor da Silva" |
| - | Ministry/Departmental Personnel: |
| | PISC Consultant (SMEC/KWK): Mr. Menzorel Hog |
| 9 | Participants Nos: (attach list) |
| | Agenda/Issues Discussed 1. What will ADE I the project wavegenest do to avoid the people 2. What will the treatment to meters with in the fitne. 2. What will the Covernment do with this people. |
| | 3. What if the sugar office property is Affected. |
| | Opinion and Suggestions |
| { | I the road will be marke with Traffic sign, etc to come to people beep out the people who will be trespossing this It makes with. |
| | 2. Well for that the Government will be doing the supervision. |
| | 3. ADA will compensate all the Thing that expected. |
| | Signature of Chairman Date: 10/09/2011 |
| | t. |



List of Participants Meeting in Suco Mau Meta 10 September 2011

| Trabel sources |
|----------------|
| |
| |
| 7 75665 61 |



Participants List cont. Road Network Development Sector Project (ADB Grant No. 0180-TIM) Project Implementation and Support Consultants Participants List cont. Profession/Occupation & Address, Cell No (if any) Signature No. 15 Marculino of Sautos 16 JOANICO ALUES PECINO COMUNIDADE 20 union A. dos santos 22 Americo Alres. VICENTE. P. Do. fating Comunidade Hélio de U. Barreto comunidade 25 Justino bosco d.s. 25 Mariaga com comunidade 7256546 Antoninho Ribeiro des S. Agricultor 28



Participants List cont. Road Network Development Sector Project (ADB Grant No. 0180-TIM)
Project Implementation and Support Consultants Participants List cont. Profession/Occupation & Address, SI Name Signature No. Cell No (if any) 15 Webito Folima de costa 16 30



Annex 5

Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste Ministry of Infrastructure

Public Information Booklet

Resettlement and Compensation Road Network Upgrading Sector Project

November 2011

1. Introduction

- 1. The Asian Development Bank (ADB) is providing financial assistance to the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste for improvement of road infrastructure under the Road Network Upgrading Sector Project (RNUSP). The Project includes upgrading and improving the Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road covering a length of about 28.8 km. As per requirements of the applicable laws of Timor-Leste, complying with ADB's safeguard requirements on involuntary resettlement under the *Safeguard Policy Statement*, and in conformity with the resettlement principles and procedures outlined in the Resettlement Framework (RF) adopted for the Project, the Ministry of Infrastructure (MOI) has prepared a Resettlement Plan (RP) to deal with the resettlement impact due to project interventions. The RP describes the provisions established to address these impacts and the modalities for compensating the Affected Families (including compensation rates, grants and allowances and schedules.) The RP for the Project will be disclosed and made available to the public at the local MOI and district administration offices in the areas affected by the Project. The PMU will also make this RP available to the public at the MOI headquarters in Dili.
- 2. Besides, PMU has also prepared this information booklet summarizing the main provisions of the RP which will be distributed to all families affected under the Project.

2. RP Preparation Status

3. The RP has been prepared based on the present design as of September 2011, drawing on the result of 100% survey of impact of the affected families (AFs), associated with socio-economic information of affected households, complemented by the process of undertaking public consultation program. The RP also details compensation entitlements and rates/modalities for each affected item. Finally the RP explains how compensation will be distributed to the AFs, and how eventual complains will be handled. It also provides a schedule for the compensation program. Contents of the RP are summarized in the following sections.

3. Project Location

4. The project road Dili-Tibar-Liquica, with a length of about 28.8 km (according to present design of the alignment), starts at km 6.828 of the existing road corridor just from the west side of the existing roundabout near the Comoro Airport located at the outskirts of the capital city Dili, and connects Liquica District towards west and then continuously runs up to Mota Ain, the main land border crossing with Indonesia. This road section actually is a continuous part of the major national route from Dili to Mota Ain. The Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road lies within the geographic coverage of these two districts Dili and Liquica.



4. Resettlement Impact

5. Improvement of the Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road will be carried out within the available road corridor of the existing embankment, requiring no additional land. Widening and raising of the road will partly affect only few shops either squatting or encroached in the government-owned land along the road alignment. For understanding the adverse social and resettlement impacts of the project interventions, a 100% census combined with socioeconomic survey was carried out during 8 – 14 September 2011 to make an inventory of losses (IOL), and to develop a baseline of socioeconomic status of the households likely to suffer from project implementation. A summary of impacts are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of Impacts

| Impact Category | Magnitude of Impact |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Affected Families | 12 households |
| Affected Persons | 92 people |
| Number of affected structures | 2 |
| Total area of affected structures | 14.5 Sqm |
| Affected Kiosks | 9 Nos |
| Affected Trees | 1 |

5. Compensation and Entitlements

- 6. The affected persons will be entitled to receive entitlements as set out in the RF, including any additional entitlements in line with the RP. In context of the present Project the following affected persons will be compensated:
- those with no formal title but have a claim to the assets, and are recognized through a process identified in the RP; and
- those who have no legal right or claim to the land they are occupying before the cut-off date.
- 7. APs will be compensated for lost assets at replacement price based on negotiated settlement. Mention should be made that a draft law on land expropriation and compensation is awaiting the approval of Parliament. When the Expropriation Law is passes by the Parliament, the Government will be able to acquire land for public purposes. Until such Law is passed, the Project will follow the approach of negotiated settlement/purchase of land. Given this situation, compensation values have been calculated depending on the information gathered through rapid appraisal and consultation with the project affected people and their community, and relevant local authorities during the community consultations, and during the course of census and inventory of loss survey. Through this process of negotiated settlement, compensation to APs for their affected assets has been figured out, and has been incorporated in the present RP.
- 8. The project improvement works will not have any impact on private land. There are few semi-permanent structures and some temporary small shops (kiosks) alongside the road which will be affected. These are actually squatters or encroacher on the road side land. These structures and kiosks will be compensated. APs will also be entitled to assistance for restoring their incomes. Vulnerable persons and women headed households will qualify for special assistance.



9. Compensation and entitlements have been identified based on impacts and losses caused before the eligible cut-off date. The established cut-off date for the Project was set as on 08 September 2011 - the date of commencing the census and socioeconomic survey. The APs will be compensated for their losses as per Entitlement Matrix given in Table 2.

Table 2: Entitlement Matrix

| Type of Loss | Unit of | Details of Entitlements | Responsibility | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Assets of squatters or informal settlers. | Entitlement Informal settlers / squatters / non- tilted APs identified by IOL losing commercial semi-permanent structure. | Compensation at replacement cost of affected structure estimated during IOL and finalized with DLPCS. Transitional allowance for loss of income from business of US\$100 for 4 months per HH. Salvaged materials free of cost. | DLPCS with assistance from the PMU's Valuation Specialist and in consultation with relevant authorities will finalize the compensation at replacement cost for the affected structure as identified during IOL. | | |
| 2. Tree. | Claimant of the affected tree | Compensation at replacement cost of affected tree estimated during IOL and finalized with DLPCS. Salvaged materials free of cost. | DLPCS with assistance from PMU's Valuation Specialist in consultation with relevant authorities will determine the fair compensation at replacement cost. (One large tree will have to be removed at km 28 of the road which has no fruit or wood value, only bears fire wood value). | | |
| 3. Income from business. | Kiosks | One time lump sum transfer grant of US\$ 100 per kiosk. Transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for 4 months per HH. | PMU in coordination with DLPCS and with assistance of local authorities and community leaders. | | |
| 4. Impact on vulnerable APs. | Vulnerable and women headed households identified by IOL (owners of 2 kiosks – one is women headed and the other is widow headed). | In addition to the above standard package, vulnerable AP households will receive following: Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for additional 2 months for women headed HH. Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for additional 4 months for widow headed HH | PMU with assistance of local authorities and community leaders. | | |
| 5. Unforeseen Impact. | Concerned impactees. | Unforeseen impacts will be documented and mitigated based on the principles agreed upon in this policy framework. | PMU identifies and mitigates impacts as required. | | |



6. Resettlement Budget

10. The entire resettlement for Dili-Tibar-Liquica road improvement project will require a total of 10,740 USD (0.0107 million US Dollar), out of which 8,950 USD is direct resettlement expense. A 20% of the total direct expenses are kept to meet contingency expenses covering administrative costs. The included cost items are in compliance with the RF prepared for the project.

Table 3: Resettlement Budget

| Description | Quantity | Unit | Rate (US\$) | Total Cost (US\$) |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Cost of Structure Compensation | Cost of Structure Compensation | | | |
| Semi-Permanent structure | 14.5 | Sq. meters | 100 | 1450 |
| Reconstruction cost | 14.5 | Sq. meters | 100 | 1450 |
| Total Cost of Structure Compensation | | | 2900.00 | |
| Compensation for Tree | 1 | No | 150 | 150.00 |
| Grant & Allowance | Grant & Allowance | | | |
| Transfer Grant for Kiosks | 9 | Lump sum | 100 | 900.00 |
| Transitional allowance for the AHs for loss of income from business for 4 months (shops + kiosks) | 11 | Lump sum | 100 | 4400.00 |
| Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for additional 2 month for women HH | 1 | Lump sum | 100 | 200.00 |
| Additional transitional allowance of US\$ 100 for additional 4 months for widow HH | 1 | Lump sum | 100 | 400.00 |
| Total Allowance 59 | | | | 5900.00 |
| Total Direct Expenses | | | 8,950.00 | |
| Contingency @ 20% of total direct cost | | - | | 1,790.00 |
| Total Resettlement Expenses | | | | 10,740.00 |

7. Grievance Redress

11. Through public consultations and distribution of this information booklet, APs will be informed that they have a right to file complaints and/or quarries on any aspect of compensation, or on any other related grievance. In the absence of national legislation, APs concerns pertaining to resettlement impacts such as compensation, rehabilitation and delays in payment will be effectively dealt. The Environmental and Social Unit (ESU) within PMU, in coordination with APs and suco and aldeia chiefs, will support the APs in presenting their grievances to the Grievance Redress Committee (GRC). GRCs will review grievances involving all resettlement benefits, relocation and other assistance, other than disputes relating to ownership right under the court of law. Table 4 sets out the grievance redress process adopted by the Project.



Table 4: Grievance Redress Procedure

| Stage | Process | Duration | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | AP submits grievance to village chief in person and village chief sends to PMU | | | | |
| 2 | Village chief in coordination with PMU facilitates to redress grievance and reports back to AP | 7 days | | | |
| | If unresolved or if AP wanted to go directly to PMU | | | | |
| 3 | AP/village chief takes grievance to PMU/community liaison officer | Within 1 week of receipt of decision in step 2 | | | |
| 4 | Issue discussed at project liaison meeting | 1 week | | | |
| 5 | PMU/community liaison officer reports back to village/AP | 5 days | | | |
| | If unresolved | | | | |
| 6 | AP/village chief take grievance to MOI Secretary of State | Within 1 week of receipt of decision in step 5 | | | |
| 7 | Secretary of State refers matter to an internal committee | 1 week | | | |
| 8 | Secretary of State reports back to AP/village chief | 5 days | | | |
| If unresolved or at any stage if AP is not satisfied | | | | | |
| AP/village chief can take the matter to appropriate court As per judicial system | | | | | |

8. Contact Details for Inquiry

12. For further information about the project as a whole, and/or the RP for the Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road under the Road Network Upgrading Sector Project, please contact the PMU/MOI at the address given below.

Project and Financial Manager Project Management Unit Ministry of Infrastructure Dili, Timor-Leste

Phone: 670 3311024 / 3311025



Annex 6

Letter of DLPCS stating that the implementation of improvement works that are proposed to be carried out on the land of the existing corridor of Dili-Tibar-Liquica Road is owned by the Government.



REPÚBLICA DEMOCRÁTICA DE TIMOR LESTE MINISTÉRIO DA JUSTIÇA DIRECÇÃO DE TERRAS, PROPRIEDADES E SERVIÇOS CADASTRAIS DISTRITO LIQUICA Rua Dr. Kofy Annan Telf.3630004

Declaração

Nebe assina iha karaik ne,e:

Naran

: Victor da Silva Lobo

Posição

: Director Terras, Propriedades e Serviços Cadastrais

Distrito Liquica

Hela Fatin

:Liquica

Tuir mai hau declara katak Estrada nebe atu alarga tan husi Dili mai to,o Liquica, barak liu kona rai Estado nian, maibe Estrada ne,e se kona karik povo nia rai Estado tenque fo compensação, tuir Lei haruka.

Mak ne,e deit hau nia Declaração, hau halo hodi uza iha fatin nebe deit bainhira presica.

Liquica, 5 de Dezembro de 2011 Disector DTPSO Distrito Liquica

Virgorda Silva Lobo





Annex 7

Additional Comments on Resettlement Plan Road Network Upgrading Sector Project

| Г | Additional Comments | Donly of Consultant |
|---|--|---|
| F | Additional Comments | Reply of Consultant |
| | It is stated that the land in question is government-owned and APs are squatters or encroachers. Please consult with the Department of Land, Property and Cadastral Services (DLPCS) to confirm this and attach their confirmation letter in the RP. | Letter of DLPCS is given in Annex 6 in the RP |
| | The RP has copied the entire legal framework from the RF without adapting to the subproject context. It is not clear which law will be used to provide compensation for these non-titled APs. It was suggested earlier that the Decree Law #6 of 2011 may perhaps apply in this case also. Again, please consult with MoJ/DLPCS and specify how the issue of squatter APs will be legally addressed. | Chapter revised |
| | The RP doesn't provide adequate description on the basis of compensation rates, and the compensation package appears to be inadequate. I understand that the Government has provided \$2,000 per family to evict squatters from government buildings. The proposed package in the RP is less than this, viz. average \$1450/family for structure-owners and \$100/family for kiosk-owners. It provides a transitional allowance of \$100/family for two months, but the monthly rate is below the poverty line and the two months may not be sufficient given that construction period normally requires more than this. Please consult with the valuation specialist (e.g. one under PMU if mobilized) as well as DLPCS, and confirm that the compensation package is at least what the government has been providing to evict squatters from the government properties. Also, confirm that the final package is acceptable to the APs. | A very important aspect of this subproject is that none of the APs will be permanently displaced. There are 2 APs who's structures will be partly affected, but will not be required to be evicted. This will not hamper their current standard of living. Rather they will continue their business in the same place with reconstruction of the partly affected front side veranda only. For these partly affected structures, the APs will be compensated at replacement cost, they will be provided with reconstruction grant, and will salvage the materials free of cost. Besides, they will be provided with transitional allowance during the reconstruction period. In respect of drawing reasonable rates, all the estimated rates were negotiated with APs, local suco/village and hamlet chiefs and other local persons. The 9 kiosks which are most temporary thatch structures will be moved back for a short period only during the time of constructing the respective section of the road. It is likely that they will continue their business getting a little away from the road, and more |
| | | It is likely that they will continue their busines |

Some entitlements in the RF such as rehabilitation grant, skills training, rehabilitation support etc. are missing. It is noted that all the APs are below the poverty line. While ADB's SPS requires to improve the poor APs' living standards to at least national minimum standard, please include adequate measures in the RP to achieve this.

I note that the budget estimate has been reduced from \$20,785 to \$7,980. It was commented earlier that the administrative costs were high. This doesn't however mean that the RP can be implemented without administrative and other necessary costs. Please include all the costs that may be needed for further consultation and implementation of the RP. Also update the costs for compensation, entitlements and livelihood support as discussed above.

The RP (together with the RF) needs to be endorsed by MOI and disclosed to APs following ADB's requirements on disclosures before it is submitted for ADB's approval and posting on its website before the appraisal (i.e. MRM/SRM).

only require shifting their structure from the current place to the new place for which they will be provided with shifting allowance and transitional allowance. For the vulnerable APs, such allowance is considered at a higher rate, which again was discussed with stakeholders. Hence, the consultant feels that the final package is sufficient to assist the APs to at least maintain their standard of living to presubproject level, and the APs will be pleased accept this.

As it has been mentioned, there is no major permanent impact on business or livelihood for the APs. Especially for the kiosks, there will be very minor impact on their business. Hence there is no requirement for skills training. Also no rehabilitation is required as there is no change in their present livelihood patter, and therefore rehabilitation grant and support is not necessary.

Administrative work required to compensate 12 APs is a very negligible task which can easily be handled by the ESU social safeguard team within PMU. Engaging a large administrative force therefore will not be required; rather a contingency fund provided in the budget can meet the administrative cost for compensating this small number of APs.

PMU will take step to get the RP and RF approved by MOI and disclosed to APs. Consultants will stay in touch with PMU.

